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1. Introduction
Research on speech and language, and the development of useful technology based on this research, depends on increasingly sophisticated tools, and most particularly on signal analysis systems. We are using ever more powerful tools to display, measure, edit and analyze time domain signals including both acoustic productions and physiological activity. The evolution of speech and signal analysis systems at Haskins Laboratories over the past 15 years will be described. The details of our systems are dependant on our particular computing environment, but many of the issues that are discussed are quite general and likely arise in some form whenever an attempt is made to create research tools for general use.

2. Background
The development of speech analysis systems at Haskins Laboratories has been influenced by the nature of the institution, the interests and needs of the researchers associated with it, and the available hardware. Haskins is a non-profit research institution affiliated with academic institutions in the Northeast and internationally, including Yale University, the University of Connecticut, City College of New York, Wesleyan University, Wellesley College, and the Hebrew University. The Laboratories provide a central facilities resource for research in areas related to speech and language.

1 The development of many of the systems mentioned in this paper and the preparation of this document were supported, in part, by a variety of grants and contracts to Haskins Laboratories including NIH Grants HD-01994, MS-13870, NS-13617, and NIH Contract N01-HD-5-2910.
including speech perception, speech production, phonetics, phonology, reading, motor behavior, and cognitive and ecological psychology. The Laboratories serve a small group of full-time research and technical support staff and a larger group of part-time researchers, graduate students, undergraduates, and postdoctoral students from many institutions.

Since the mid-1970s software efforts have concentrated on the development of special-purpose tools for a VAX-11/780, and more recently the associated VAX minicomputers that are part of our local area VAX cluster. A major goal of new software development has been to exploit the power of the VAXstation workstations while ensuring that new tools are integrated with our day-to-day computing environment. In addition, our systems must provide the power, consistency, features, and speed demanded by long-term users, while still being accessible to visitors who need to get their work done quickly and with minimal support from the relatively small technical staff.

3. **Early Developments—WENDY and SPA**

The design of new display and analysis systems has been influenced by systems that were developed in the early 1970s, many of which are still in use at Haskins and other institutions. One of the most influential programs, still in daily use, is the Waveform Editor and Display program (WENDY). The program is used to edit 12-bit sampled data (PCM)

\(^1\) files (see O'Shaughnessy, Chapter 2, and Gopal, Chapter 8) that conform to a local standard. While the system is most commonly used for speech signals, it is readily adapted to physiological records, model-generated data, or the output of synthesizers. Signals can be displayed as waveforms, amplitude and duration measurements can be made, and signals can be combined or edited as needed. The program also includes a procedural language for performing iterative operations and defining macros to repeat complex or frequently used operations. WENDY was designed to run on a single central CPU (originally a PDP 11/45 and later a VAX 11/780) that supported a number of Tektronix 4010/4014 compatible display terminals.

Figure 1 shows a typical display from the program. In the top panel is the amplitude waveform of an adult male saying “The cow chewed its cud.” The bottom panel is an expanded section of a portion of this signal. Amplitude waveforms are shown in “display ports”; WENDY can accommodate up to eight simultaneous display ports.

WENDY is well adapted to performing its main function: displaying and editing signal waveforms. It can handle multiple signals, and the internal procedural language provides considerable flexibility for the manipulation of these signals. The program can be run from a variety of Tektronix-compatible terminals, including Digital VT240, GraphOn, and microcomputer-based Tektronix emulators. However, the program is not easy to learn. The command set is unique to this program, and is not self-documenting, and the functions of many commands are not intuitively obvious. The LISP-like procedural language is powerful and flexible once mastered, but is sufficiently difficult to learn that many users never take full advantage of it. The storage-mode display technology results in long display times, with many total screen redispays. The program is also not well integrated with other forms of signal analysis used at the Laboratories.

Shortly after the development of WENDY, a program called SPA (Spectral Analysis) was designed to provide FFT-based spectral analysis (see O'Shaughnessy, Chapter 2). SPA was intended to supplement ILS (Interactive Laboratory System), a commercial package from Signal Technology Incorporated of LPC-based analysis and filtering routines. SPA is a menu-driven program using the standard IEEE routines (IEEE, 1979) that is powerful, and much more accessible to the occasional user than ILS. Table 1 illustrates the SPA command menu (which is based on a Laboratory-wide applications interface shell called HUI—Haskins User Interface). Sampled}

\(^1\) Haskins Laboratories uses the Pulse Code Modulation (PCM) method of digitizing analog signals, which consists of taking amplitude samples at frequent, regular intervals, and representing the continuously varying signal as binary digital numbers (Whalen, et al., 1990). The number of intervals per unit of time is known as the sampling rate. At Haskins, sampling rates of 10,000 and 20,000 Hz (samples per second) are used for speech data, while physiological signals are sampled at a wide variety of rates, including non-integral sampling rates. The resolution within the voltages that the system can encompass (known as the dynamic range) is specified by the number of bits used for the encoding. In the case of the Haskins system, the approximate range of -10V to +10V is coded into 12 bits. The Haskins systems, like many others, avoids having a sign bit by adding a dc offset half as large as the dynamic range. For a 12 bit system, the original values (+0000 to +2047) are internally stored as values in the range of 0-4095; the Haskins systems represents these values as 16-bit two's complement numbers with the highest four bits reserved as a control field. The Haskins PCM file format saves sampled data in a binary file (64 words per record), with a 4-record header block that supplies additional information about the file (Whalen, et al., 1990). Segment labels can either be stored in trailer blocks (old label format) or as separate ASCII files (new label format).

\(^2\) An exception to this is IVES, which is a modified version of WENDY that runs as an integrated component of our PSP Physiological Signal Processing system, allowing display, edition and measurement of waveforms from within PSP.
data files can be analyzed, displayed, and saved in a Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) format. Graphical interactions with the spectral data are, once again, limited by the inadequacies of the storage mode displays.

Figure 1: *WENDY* waveform display. Top panel—the utterance “The cow chewed its cud.” Bottom panel—expanded view of the portion of the waveform that contains the word “cow.”

**Figure 2** shows a pseudo-spectrogram of the first 275 msec. of the utterance, “The cow chewed its cud.” The figure is of low quality because spectrogram displays require gray-level representation: time is on the horizontal axis, frequency on the vertical, and intensity is represented by darkness. Figure 2 represents the best that can be done on a monochrome display without resorting to simulating a grey-scale using custom dot patterns. Even such a crude display is often useful (e.g., choosing points for drawing spectral cross-section information), and when additional resolution is needed the user can always use an analog spectrograph.

4. **HUI—The Haskins User Interface Shell**

During the mid-1970s, a wide variety of application programs were developed at the Laboratories. There were PCM file format support programs such as AFM (Arithmetic File Manipulation), CPC (viewing PCM data), INPUT (converting analog signals to PCM), and OUTPUT (PCM to analog). There were also programs to synthesize speech and other sounds, including SYN (acoustic synthesis and synthesis-by-rule), ASY (articulatory synthesis), and SWS (sinewave synthesis). PSP (Physiological Signal Processing), ACT and ACE were developed to display and analyze physiolog-
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5. A New Approach to System Development

The design of speech and signal analysis systems at Haskins, and at many other institutions, has changed radically in recent years because of the ready availability of powerful engineering workstations and raster graphics displays. Our earlier systems were severely restricted by relatively scarce and expensive processing capacity and by the limitations of storage mode graphics. During the latter half of the 1970s we expended considerable effort in creating our own workstation, known as the Digital Pattern Playback (DPP) (Nye, et al., 1975, Nye, et al., 1977). This system combined custom-designed software with special-purpose hardware including a DEC GT40 display processor connected to a PDP 11/45 minicomputer, a Hewlett-Packard gray-scale raster display screen, RAM accessible to both processors via a bus window, a hardware spectrum analyzer, a 40-channel speech vocoder, potentiometer input control devices, a GT40 lightpen, and a Summagraphics writing tablet.

The user interface was based on a primitive windowing system with lightpen-controlled menus. Speech could be input directly or from disk files, a scrolling waveform could be displayed on the GT40, frequency-band analysis was done by hardware, and one or two gray-scale spectrograms could be displayed on the HP screen. The user could make a variety of waveform and spectral measurements and do spectral editing with subsequent vocoder resynthesis. This system had many of the features that are common today, including using special-purpose hardware to relieve the CPU of processing-intensive tasks, and a windowing system that supported both gray-scale and vector graphics. However, the system was complex, very specialized, and difficult to maintain. The Digital Pattern Playback foreshadowed many features that have now become commonplace, and was an important step from time-sharing systems to modern distributed workstations.

The desire to modernize our approach to signal display and analysis has been spurred by a variety of factors. These include a change in the mid-80s to a distributed processing workstation computing environment (Talkin, 1989), the availability of affordable new display technologies, and the rapid development and acceptance of graphical user interfaces (GUIs such as the operating environments on the Xerox Star, the Apple Lisa and Macintosh, Suns, etc., and more recently, Windows, Open Look and Motif). While our newest systems are a logical continuation of what we tried to do with DPP, we have profited from such pioneering systems as enthusiasm and excitement generated by low-end solutions such as the MacRecorderSound Edit system on the Macintosh (Farallon, 1989).
A number of considerations influenced the direction of our newest systems. Of most importance, we needed the power of a VAX or a Sun workstation combined with a graphical user interface. The complexity and sheer number of applications made the consistency and ease of use of GUIs imperative, but at the time micro-computer based applications lacked the support, hardware integration, and raw processing power necessary for modern signal-processing tasks.

The need for VAX compatibility precluded the use of products from other workstation vendors such as Sun, Apollo, MASSCOMP, or Lisp Machine. We were also faced with a proliferation of new types of data (e.g., magnetic resonance images, microbeam and X-ray data, model-generated values) that made it necessary to display significantly more data channels than we previously had, and to maintain internal consistency between applications and Haskins-specific data types. Finally, since we have formal and informal ties to many institutions, it was essential to both generalize and standardize our data types to facilitate file interchanges with individuals and laboratories worldwide.

As is true for any institution, we had to work within our own limitations. Haskins is not a software company—our primary mission is research—and we generally cannot support speculative projects; nor can we afford to do system development just because “someone should do this.” However, the arrival of our first VAXstation II/GPX system in 1986 forced us to embark on some pioneering efforts, largely because the graphics windowing software system (VWS) was new to us and to DEC. While we realized that our initial efforts might not result in a completely successful system, we believed that we would gain essential knowledge and hoped to develop a set of software tools that future applications could use.

6. Initial Steps to a Modern Design: SPEED

SPEED was conceived as a prototype signal processing, display, and editing program that, while limited in functionality, would be easy to learn and to use. Our initial requirements for the user interface included:

- Simplified command set
- Integration of waveform and spectral functions
- Graphical User Interface (GUI)
  - Menu Bar with command-key equivalents
  - Windows for displays
  - Mouse control

Figure 3 shows an example of the basic SPEED displays. The menu bar is at the top of the screen, with individual commands accessed using pull down menus. The menu structure is simple:

```
SPEED     Help, Quit
Display    Wave, Display DFT
Analysis   Spectral
Windows    Free, Aligned, Hard Copy, TIFF (Tag Image Format)
```

The "Display Wave" command invokes a "file finder" dialog box that the user can use to locate a file by entering a name or selecting a name from a list. Once selected, the file is opened and displayed in a waveform window that has a graphical display of the waveform and an array of numerical...
values for the various display and editing parameters. Left and Right show
the start and end of the waveform displayed, and Head and Tail point to a
marked Section, which is the part of the waveform to which all editing
commands are applied. Cursor shows the position of the graphics cursor,
and Value shows the magnitude of the wave at the cursor position. Values can
be changed by typing into fields or by graphical operations: placing the cursor
by clicking on the waveform rectangle, moving the head by dragging a handle
(a small triangle at the bottom of the display), and so on.

At the top right in Figure 3 is the control panel, a small window with two
rows of icons, which is similar in function to the tool palette in programs
like MacPaint. The controls affect the active waveform window. From left
to right the icons are:

Top row: magnification increase; zoom-in display; zoom-out display;
zoom-in section display; zoom-out section display; section control; open/
close scrolling section window.

Bottom row: magnification decrease; play entire file; play section; cut-
and-paste editing functions; special effects such as reverse, set to silence,
rectification; labeling options dialog; general options dialog.

Figure 3: SPEED displays

The waveform at the bottom of Figure 3 is a scrolling window that displays
the section marked in the waveform window. The scrolling window has
tape-recorder-like controls that provide a convenient way of tracking
an enlarged portion of the master file or for making fine adjustments in section
size and location.

Spectrograms (the middle window in Figure 3) are generated by Discrete
Fourier Transform analysis of the sampled data files using the IEEE
package of signal processing routines (IEEE, 1979). A dialog box guides the
user through the selection of such analysis options as source data file,
analysis type, window type and size, starting and ending portions of the
waveform, and so on. Up to four spectral cross-section windows are visible
at a time, two are shown on the right-hand side of Figure 3. Spectral cross-
sections show frequency-magnitude information for a single frame that can
be moved by dragging markers (the "houses" labelled "1" and "2" at the
bottom of the Spectrogram window) or by entering a time value in the
cross-section window. Although not illustrated, part or all of the spectro-
gram can be displayed in "Waterfall" form, a projection of a three-dimen-
sional plot of successive cross sections.

Dialog boxes proved to be an essential tool for the SPEED interface, and
contribute greatly both to the power and ease-of-use of the system. A
judicious use of dialog boxes makes the system nearly self-documenting,
provides useful default values for novice users, and imposes negligible
time penalties on experienced users. We have also found it useful to include
buttons that set values for a group of choices. For example, the Wide Band
and Narrow Band buttons will set the Window Width, Skip Between
Frames, and # Spectral Values variables to values appropriate for wide and
narrow band spectral analyses.

7. The HADES Prototype: An Advanced Design

SPEED was intended to provide a low-end VAXstation speech analysis and
display system that used the full power of VAX workstations. It was,
however, conceived as a prototype and never intended to be a large-scale
system. Our next step was to be a system that had an extensible program-
ing language with flexible signal processing primitives, and that was
fully integrated with other Haskins software tools. The Haskins Analysis
Display and Experiment System (HADES) would provide a vehicle for
standardizing and consolidating many of the signal processing tools (e.g.,
PSP, ACT, ACE) that had been developed at the Laboratory over the years.
Our initial design requirements included:
• The development of a single system to handle data acquisition, in an experimental context, with subsequent display and analysis.
• Multiple waveforms in multi-panel windows, for manipulation of multi-channel data (e.g. physiological measurements, dichotomic speech).
• A display primitive for multiple waveforms within a single window, using different colors or line types to differentiate the individual signals.
• The implementation of a procedural programming language within the program.
• Consolidation of a variety of signal-processing tools from other programs.
• A command window for entering text commands and/or command strings.
• Handling both existing Haskins label structures and the new Haskins label structure.
• Improvements in the quality of the spectrographic display.
• Redesign of the spectral analysis section so that:
  a. Analysis is performed on signals or signal sections.
  b. Analysis results can be stored in a buffer instead of in a file.
• A journaling feature for recording data readings, such as spectral peaks, duration measurements, etc.
• Spectral averaging: both non-destructive display (like cross-section) and actual data averaging.
• Specialized display primitives for frequently occurring activities and for new data types (such as images).

At the heart of HADES are three functions: acquisition, display, and analysis. The proposed final system will include acquisition of multiple streams of data in real-time, experimental set-up and interactive control of experimental conditions and peripheral devices, standardized data-conditioning and signal-processing routines, multiple display tools for qualitative data exploration, and general-purpose analysis procedures. The internal procedural language (SPIEL) supports the creation of specialized analysis macros that can be stored and manipulated as text files or executed from a command-line entry. All data displayed by or stored in HADES are accessible to SPIEL as variables, and all operations are available as commands.

A typical HADES display is shown in Table 3. The menu bar commands are substantially more elaborate than in SPEED and in particular the Display options are greatly augmented:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Display</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAVE</td>
<td>sampled data format multi-panel waveform display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVERLAY</td>
<td>multiple overlaid waveform display</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LESSAJOUS</td>
<td>plot X (horizontal axis) versus Y (vertical axis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHASE PLOT</td>
<td>phase plane: value on X versus delta value on Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIFF</td>
<td>tag image file format for raster images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECTROGRAM</td>
<td>gray-scale spectrogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROSS SECTION</td>
<td>spectral cross-section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>3D waterfall spectral display</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: HADES 0.1 menu structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hades 0.1</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Display</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Misc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flags</td>
<td>🍃</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>Show Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edit</td>
<td>🐝</td>
<td>🍃</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>Save Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Show control panel</td>
<td>🍃</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>Experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardcopy</td>
<td>🍃</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>Layout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quit</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquire</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlay</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LissaJOUS</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase plot</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIFF</td>
<td>🌊</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🔆</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrogram</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross section</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D spectrogram</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPA</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
<td>🎉</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HADES control panel (bottom of Figure 4) has 21 icons and permits much greater control over multiple window displays and reduces the number of mouse movements needed to set values. The spectrographic display is much better than that provided by SPEED (compare Figure 3). Examples of some of the additional display tools can be seen in Figures 5 and 6. A multiple waveform display window; with two panels is shown at the top of Figure 5. The top panel (PXL.A) is a model-generated signal showing values of lip aperture; the bottom panel (PXL.B) shows lip height. The small window at the bottom left is a phase display for the PXL.A data. The small window at the bottom right plots PXL.A data on the horizontal axis and PXL.B data on the vertical axis (a LissaJOUS display). An
additional display type can be seen in Figure 6. At the top are waveforms representing speech and physiological records of the positions of speech articulators derived from microbeam analysis. Below the waveforms are two windows containing gray-scale images. At the right is a midsagittal MRI view of a head, showing a portion of the vocal tract. The program can display and save files in TIFF format (Davenport and Vellon, 1987). Using a public-domain format for digital images allows free interchange between Macintosh, AT-compatible, and VAXstation-based programs.

The text window at the bottom of Figure 5 is the HADES command window. This feature is a significant departure from SPEED, and was included to allow for preferred user workstyles. Different interface designs are needed for users who need easy access to program tools with minimal training and users who wish to bypass the graphical interface to get at the raw processing power of the system. The command window also lets users run non-graphics HADES commands from text only terminals.

Figure 4: HADES 0.1 displays.

Figure 5: Additional HADES 0.1 displays.

8. HADES 0.8: The Beta Version

As is typically the case when moving from a conceptual prototype to a release version, many of the low level routines were redesigned to increase robustness, system performance, ease of maintenance, and extensibility. Improvements in system functionality are readily seen by looking at the menu structure summarized in Figure 7. The new structure includes hierarchical menus, EDIT and PLAY functions as menu selections with command key equivalents, and additional FLAG, DATA, and LABEL options. We also eliminated the control panel, some of its functionality has been incorporated in the main menu while other tools appear at the bottom of the temporal displays (see Figure 8). Breaking with the Macintosh tradition, all three buttons of the VAXstation mouse are used. For example, clicking on the Selection Zoom icon with the right button increases the selection size, pressing the left button decreases it, and clicking with the center button selects the entire display. The readout portion of what was the control panel has been enhanced and is shown in its new form at the bottom of Figure 8. The readout panel now contains information about signal values (minimum and maximum values) and, on occasion, analysis results.
What was formerly considered to be a waveform display window has been reconceptualized as a temporal display window. This reformulation provides for easier synchronization of data, as shown by the waveform and related spectrogram in Figure 8. Time domain waveforms have also been integrated and simplified as is shown in Figure 9, in which the two panels of WINDOW1 have been combined into a single panel in WINDOW2 by using the OVERLAY option. The VERTICAL DISPLACEMENT icon could be used to reposition the panels for comparison purposes. Many other small changes in the interface have been made in response to user testing or user requests.

9. Moving to Release

Few interface changes are planned as we move from Beta test to the release version of HADES. It is, however, anticipated that the signal processing portion of SPIEL will be enhanced and refinements will be made in the facilities it provides for designing and conducting experiments. Considerable care was taken to maintain a high level of modularity in the design, and many new primitives will be imported from existing programs. In future releases of HADES we expect to pay particular attention to the issues associated with file interchanges both between other Haskins applications and systems at other institutions (see Mertus, 1989, for a discussion of the issues associated with standardization of file formats). We are also evaluating the possibility of migrating our system to the DECwindows (or MOTIF) implementation of the X windows systems (Nye, 1988).

10. Conclusion

The evolution of the signal processing environment at Haskins is a good example of the many influences including political, scientific, academic, human, and technological that contribute to final system design. Developing a powerful but accessible signal processing system has forced us to balance what often appear to be conflicting design requirements. Our systems must always meet the needs of a diverse user population so we must constantly be aware of the subtle interplay between power and ease of use. While we try to build on the work of others, Haskins is unique and new tools must be integrated into our environment. User interfaces should simplify the user's task, but can also enrich their understanding of the problem domain and provide ever more sophisticated and powerful tools. While we need to provide continuity, speech research is a dynamic, rapidly changing field and we must provide flexible open-ended systems that gracefully respond to changing user needs. We need to be constantly aware of "real-world" constraints on our development projects.
Figure 8: HADES 0.8 waveform and spectrogram

including not only resource limitations, but also changes in user workstyles, the
need to maintain continuity despite changes in programming staff, and
institutional decisions such as funding, changes in priorities, and delays due to
competing obligations. Finally, we must contend with a need to support
projects that are likely to have immediate benefits, while still reserving re-
sources for exploratory work so we will be prepared to take advantage of
 technological and scientific innovations.

Figure 9: HADES 0.8 multiple waveform and display comparison.
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