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Abstract

Some representative vocalizations of captive rhesus monkey, chimpanzee, and gorilla were recorded and analyzed by means of sound spectrograms and oscillograms. It was found that these animals' vocal mechanisms do not appear capable of producing human speech. The laryngeal output was breathy and irregular. A uniform cross-section, schwalike configuration appeared to underlie all the vocalizations. These animals did not modify the shape of their supralaryngeal vocal tracts by means of tongue maneuvers during a vocalization. Formant transitions occurred in some vocalizations, but they appeared to have been generated by means of laryngeal and possibly velar or lip movements. The non-human primates lack a pharyngeal region like man's, where the cross sectional area continually changes during speech. The data suggest that speech cannot be viewed as an overlaid function that makes use of a vocal tract that has evolved solely for respiratory and deglutitious purposes; the skeletal evidence of human evolution shows a series of changes from the primate vocal tract that may have been, in part, for the purpose of generating speech. Articulate speech may not have been fully developed in some of man's ancestors. The study of the peripheral speech production apparatus of a fossil thus may be useful in the assessment of its phylogenetic grade.

We are accustomed to speaking about the "vocal tract" when we refer to the articulatory apparatus that is used to produce human speech. One of the most common statements about speech production, however, is that it is an "overlaid" function insofar as it involves the manipulation of muscles and structures that have evolved for the purposes of eating and breathing. According to this view, there is, strictly speaking, no "vocal tract." Man has a set of devices that have evolved so that he can eat and breathe.1,2 He has happily been able to make use of this set of breathing and eating devices to communicate. This view, which is rather pervasive, stems from the anatomical and philosophical studies of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries.

In the first half of the seventeenth century Descartes3,4 developed his concept of the "bête machine," i.e., animals are machines or mechanisms. In contrast to all other animals, only man possesses abstract thought and language. The basis for this distinction between man and all other animals appeared to rest solely on man's mental abilities, since animals like the apes appeared to have all the output mechanisms that are necessary for speech. Studies like Perrault's5 and Tyson's6 comparative anatomies of the Chimpanzee showed that the larynx, teeth, lips, and jaws of the non-human primates were similar to those of man. However, the monkeys and apes lacked speech and language and they could not think in abstract terms. They lacked the mechanism for abstract thought, that is, they lacked language.

Since Descartes, many people have tried to show that there is no fundamental difference between man and the animals. La Mettrie7 in L'Homme Machine stated that man also was a machine. La Mettrie says that the apes are, in effect, retarded people. Since they have the necessary mechanism for speech production, La Mettrie believed that with a little effort it should be possible to teach an ape to talk. If an ape were carefully tutored
as though he were, for example, a deaf child, it would be possible to teach him to speak. The ape would then, in La Mettrie's terms, "...be a perfect little gentleman." The belief that apes have a speech output mechanism that would be adequate for speech production has persisted to the present time. Osgood, for example, states that, "the chimpanzee is capable of vocalizations almost as elaborate as man's." Yerkes and Learned identify more than 32 speech sounds for the chimpanzee. Attempts to teach chimpanzees to talk still continue. A recent study by Hayes, for example, centered about an attempt to teach a chimpanzee to talk by raising it as though it were a retarded child. No one, however, has ever been able to teach an ape to talk.

The object of this study is thus to examine the cries of non-human primates in order to determine what aspects of their vocalizations are similar to human speech and what aspects are different. In particular, we would like to determine the articulatory and anatomical bases of the differences so that we can tell with greater certainty the direction in which human speech-producing capability has evolved from these related animals, the apes and monkeys. In so doing, we may be able to gain some insights into the evolution of man's linguistic abilities by comparing these animals with the skeletal remains of man's ancestors. These questions are, of course, relevant to whether speech is an overlaid function, and we, of course, should be able to determine if it is inherently possible to teach an ape to produce human speech.

PROCEDURE

Vocalizations of captive 2 and 3 year old gorillas (Gorilla gorilla), 2 year old chimpanzees (Pan), and 1 to 6 year old rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta) were recorded. The range of vocalizations recorded for the rhesus monkeys was judged by their
keepers to be characteristic of the animals' range. The ape vocalizations were judged by their keepers to be characteristic of a good part of these animals' "public" range. The vocalizations furthermore are consistent with those reported by Rowell and Hinde\textsuperscript{11} for captive rhesus monkey and by Andrew\textsuperscript{12} for captive rhesus monkey and chimpanzee. These two studies made use of tape recordings and spectrographic analysis, so we have a reasonable basis for comparison. The cries also appear to be consistent with subjective transcriptions of ape vocalizations in their natural environment.\textsuperscript{9-16}

Tape recordings were made in the monkey colony of the University of Connecticut at Storrs, at the Central Park and Prospect Park Zoos in New York City, and at the Fairmount Park Zoo in Philadelphia. Sony type TC 800 tape recorders were used with Sony type F85 and General Radio type 1560 P-5 microphones at a tape speed of 7.5 inches per second. The microphones were placed 5 to 25 cm from the monkeys. The microphone to mouth distances for the apes ranged from 5 cm to 8 meters. The upper limit of the system's frequency response was 12 KHz. Sound spectrograms of these recordings were made, using a Voiceprint sound spectrograph. Some of the tape recordings were analyzed at half speed and one quarter speed to increase the effective bandwidth of the spectrograph's analyzing filter. The effective bandwidths of the analyzing filters thus ranged from 50 to 1200 Hz. Oscillograms were also made, using a Honeywell Visicorder.

RESULTS

In Fig. 1 a wide band spectrogram is presented of a vocalization of Gorilla Kathy, who is three years old and lives in Philadelphia. The gorilla was producing a signal at moderate intensity when food was withheld. The bandwidth of the analyzing filter was 300 Hz, and the spectrogram was made using the FLAT position of the spectrograph since there is more high fre-
frequency energy in the glottal excitation of the gorilla than is
the case for human vocalization. This is also the case for the
chimpanzee and monkey vocalizations that will be described next.

The fundamental frequency of phonation was, however, rather
unstable. Large pitch perturbations \(^{17}\) occurred from one period
to the next. The laryngeal output appears to be very noisy and
turbulent. Energy concentrations can be noted in Fig. 1 at 500,
1500, and 2400 Hz. Measurements of the skull and mandible of an
adult gorilla yield an estimated vocal tract length of 17.8 cm.
If a gorilla thus uttered the schwa vowel, that is, a vowel
having a vocal tract shape that approximates a uniform tube open
at one end, the vowel formant frequencies would be at 470, 1414,
and 2355 Hz. \(^{18}\) We can therefore infer that the energy concen-
trations in the spectrogram of Gorilla Kathy's vocalization re-
fect the transfer function of her supralaryngeal vocal tract in
the schwa configuration.

The last third of this spectrogram shows some effects that
may represent interaction of the supralaryngeal vocal tract with
the activity of the vocal cords. We will return to these effects
later. The main characteristic of this utterance is that the
output of the gorilla's larynx is being modified by the reson-
ances of the supralaryngeal vocal tract, as is the case for
human speech. Note that this is in sharp contrast to the calls
of birds, where the fundamental frequency and harmonics of the
syrinx's output completely characterize the acoustic nature of
the cry. \(^{19}\)

In Fig. 2 a cry uttered by a two year old chimpanzee is
presented. The bandwidth of the spectrograph's analyzing filter
was 300 Hz. The two energy concentrations at 1500 and 2800 Hz
occur after the initial part of the vocalization where transitions
to and from 1300 to 1700 to 1300 Hz and from 2800 to 3200 to
2500 Hz occur. Note the presence of clearly defined "voicing
striations" during these transitions. The fundamental frequency of phonation as determined from the oscillogram is 140 Hz. The energy concentrations that can be seen in Fig. 2 thus must reflect the transfer function of the chimpanzee's supralaryngeal vocal tract. The transitions in the initial part of Fig. 2 must reflect changes in the overall length of the chimpanzee's vocal tract since both $F_1$ and $F_2$ rise and fall together.

In American English changes in the overall length of the supralaryngeal vocal tract usually are the result of lip rounding. It is possible, however, to change the length of the vocal tract by moving the larynx up or down. Infants do this in their birth cries, and in some languages, e.g., certain dialects of French, laryngeal motion is a normal distinctive articulatory gesture. Since the chimpanzee's lips were retracted while he uttered this cry he probably moved his larynx upwards and downwards to change the length of his supralaryngeal vocal tract during the early part of the utterance in Fig. 2.

Energy concentrations occurred at 1500, 2800, and 4500 Hz during the steady state portion of this cry. The length of a chimpanzee vocal tract was estimated at 12 cm from an adult skull and mandible. The resonances of a uniform 12 cm tube open at both ends are 1400, 2800, and 4200 Hz. If the chimpanzee's vocal tract looked like a uniform tube, open at both ends, we would expect to find the energy concentrations that are apparent in Fig. 2. The boundary condition looking back at the subglottal system from the chimpanzee's larynx would thus have to be similar to the boundary condition at the chimpanzee's lips for this open tube approximation to hold. The chimpanzee's glottal opening therefore would have to be large during the cry for this to be true. This may be what is happening. Kelemen, in his anatomical study of the chimpanzee larynx, notes the presence of the "hiatus intervocalis," that is an opening of the glottis that is
always present. This cry furthermore was produced at a high degree of vocal effort where the chimpanzee probably is using a high subglottal air pressure. In the absence of a concurrent increase in laryngeal medial compression the vocal cords may be blown apart. These comments on what may be happening during the production of this cry are, of course, hypotheses whose confirmation or refutation is subject to further study. It is clear, however, that the chimpanzee is not changing his supralaryngeal vocal tract configuration by moving his tongue.

Fig. 3 presents a cry that was produced at a low degree of vocal effort while the chimpanzee was eating. The bandwidth of the analyzing filter of the spectrograph was 300 Hz. The cry consists of two bursts about 300 msec apart. The fundamental frequency of phonation as measured on the oscillogram was 150 Hz during the first burst and 210 Hz during the second burst. A quantized spectrogram was used to determine the spectral energy concentrations of the cry. Energy concentrations occurred at 650, 1650, and 3100 Hz. The chimpanzee's lips were rounded throughout this cry. This would make the chimpanzee's supralaryngeal vocal tract somewhat longer than it was in the cry presented in Fig. 2, where his lips were retracted. If his vocal tract approximated a 13 cm long uniform tube open at one end, we would expect formant frequencies at 620, 1860, and 3100 Hz. $F_1$ is somewhat higher and $F_2$ is somewhat lower. The chimpanzee's supralaryngeal vocal tract is thus somewhat flared. 22

Note that the cry at a low effort, where the glottal opening is probably small, has formants corresponding to a 1/4 wave resonator. The cry at a high degree of effort, where the glottal opening is perhaps large, apparently results in the formants corresponding to a 1/2 wave resonator. The crucial point is that in both cases the shape of the supralaryngeal vocal tract seems to approximate a uniform tube, that is, the schwa vowel. In all
of the analyses of the ape cries which we recorded, the acoustic signal indicated that the supralaryngeal vocal tract configuration approximated either a tube of uniform cross section or a slightly flared tube. The data sample is admittedly small as it involves only six captive apes, but the cries recorded are consistent with Andrew's spectrographic investigation. 23

In several subjective studies of ape cries, 9,13 transcriptions like /aw/ are used for certain cries. This, of course, implies that the ape is moving his tongue during the cry since this is what human speakers do when they produce the diphthong /aw/. In Fig. 4 a spectrogram is presented of a chimpanzee cry that sounds like /aw/. The cry was uttered at a high degree of vocal effort. The fundamental frequency was unstable. The oscillogram showed that it varied about 200 Hz but the excitation was, in general, very breathy. The sound spectrogram in Fig. 4 was made with an analyzing filter bandwidth of 600 Hz by analyzing the tape recording at half speed. The time scale of the spectrogram is thus stretched. Note that the energy in the higher formants decreases towards the end of the cry. Note, however, that the formants continue to be evenly spaced at the end of the cry. There is no transition in either the first or the second formant frequencies. The apparent change in vowel quality in this cry is thus due to a change in the energy content of the glottal excitation rather than to a change in the configuration of the supralaryngeal vocal tract. In other words, the cry sounds like /aw/ because the energy balance between the higher and the lower formant frequencies shifts to the lower formant frequencies as the spectral content of the glottal excitation shifts towards the end of the cry. Similar though less pronounced changes in the spectral content of the glottal excitation can be seen in human vocalizations at the end of voicing and in particular at the end of a breath-group, where the larynx is moving towards its open inspiratory configuration while the subglottal air pressure
simultaneously falls. 24

In Fig. 5 a spectrogram of one of the aggressive sounds of a rhesus monkey is presented. The cry was produced at a moderate degree of vocal effort while the monkey bared his teeth. We recorded six normal monkeys over a period of six months in the monkey colony of the University of Connecticut at Storrs. This particular recording was made with the Sony type F85 microphone. In Fig. 6 part of the oscillogram of this cry is presented. The first two "bursts" are presented in the oscillogram which was made as the tape recording was played back at 1/4 speed. The fundamental frequency of phonation is approximately 400 Hz. Note that the fundamental periodicity is very unstable at best. Parts of the waveform appear to be very turbulent. The waveform, in all, looks very much like those associated with pathologic human larynges where a hoarse vocal output results. 25 The rhesus monkeys, like the gorillas and chimpanzees, are unable to produce sustained vocalizations that have a steady fundamental periodicity.

The spectrogram in Fig. 5 was also made from a tape that was played back at 1/4 speed. The effective bandwidth of the spectrograph was thus 1200 Hz. Energy concentrations occurred at 1, 3, and 6 to 8 KHz. There were approximately 25 msec between each burst and glottal activity seems to have been sustained between each burst. Thus the cry is similar to a sequence of voiced stops in intervocalic position.

Unlike voiced stops in human speech, the closure of the vocal tract seems to have been affected by the animal's epiglottis and velum. The monkey's lips were retracted, exposing his teeth throughout the cry, so he could not have used his lips to obstruct his vocal tract. There are also no formant transitions, which would occur if the supralaryngeal vocal tract were momentarily obstructed by the tongue. The larynx of a rhesus monkey
is quite high in contrast to the position of the human vocal tract, and his epiglottis can seal his mouth off at the soft palate. 26

Note that this cry is quite similar to the chimpanzee cry in Fig. 3, except that it is scaled up in frequency. The energy concentrations at 2, 3 and 6 to 8 KHz are again consistent with the resonances of a uniform tube open at one end. We anesthetized a 5 year old male monkey and measured the length of his supralaryngeal vocal tract. With his lips rounded, the length of his supralaryngeal vocal tract was 7.6 cm. The resonances of a uniform 7.6 cm long tube open at one end are 1100, 3300, and 5500 Hz. We recorded a number of cries that this monkey made with his lips rounded at a low level of vocal effort. The recordings were made in a quiet room using the General Radio 1560-P5 microphone. The average values of F₁, F₂, and F₃ were 1300, 3000, and 4400 Hz respectively. Thus the monkey was producing these cries with a slightly flared supralaryngeal vocal tract.

In Fig. 7 photographs of a casting of the oral cavity of a rhesus monkey are presented. The monkey's tongue and lips were positioned in an approximation of an aggressive "bark"11 and a plaster of paris casting was made shortly after an experiment in which the monkey was sacrificed (for other purposes). Note that the vocal tract of the monkey approximates a uniform cross section passage with a flared portion of the laryngeal end. Also note the shallowness of the pharyngeal "bend" and the flatness of the monkey's tongue, which is apparent in the side view. (The monkey's tongue fills up the shallow section delimited by the depth of the "bend" at the laryngeal end of the oral cavity.)

In Fig. 8 a distress cry of a rhesus monkey is presented. This cry was produced at an extremely high level of vocal effort. The monkey had its lips retracted. It was clinging to the back of another monkey at the rear of its cage. The bandwidth of the
spectrograph filter was 1200 Hz since the tape recording was analyzed at 1/4 speed. Note the energy concentrations in the initial part of this vocalization. The supraglottal vocal tract length of this monkey is about 4 cm when his lips are fully retracted and he is anesthetized. The lowest energy concentration ranges from 4 to 4.5 KHz during the initial part of the cry. This frequency range is consistent with a 4 cm vocal tract length resonating as a half wave resonator. The next highest energy concentration ranges from 8.5 to 9 KHz during the initial part of the cry. This too is consistent with the second resonance of a uniform tube that has similar boundary conditions at both ends. There is no low frequency fundamental frequency apparent in the initial part of this cry. Examination of the oscillogram shows energy present only at the two resonances of the supralaryngeal vocal tract. We have here a case where the resonances of the supralaryngeal vocal tract apparently control the excitation function. In other words, the resonances of the vocal tract determine the energy components of the laryngeal excitation. The system is behaving like a trumpet where the resonances of the trumpet determine the rate at which the musician's lips vibrate. Similar though smaller effects have been noted during normal human speech where the vocal cords can be seen to vibrate at the first formant frequency. Flanagan has observed similar effects in a model of the human larynx. In the gorilla cry in Fig. 1, energy can be seen at multiples of the first formant during the last third of the spectrogram. These abrupt "bars" may be caused by the vocal cords of the gorilla vibrating at the first formant frequency. Similar effects also seem to occur in human speech from dysarthric subjects.

Returning to Fig. 8, note that the energy concentrations at the end of the cry are at 2.5 and 7 KHz. These frequencies are consistent with a flared 4 cm tube resonating as a 1/4 wave
resonator. Note that there is less energy in the high part of the spectrum at the end of the cry. The oscillogram also shows low frequency energy and a general noisilike to quasi-periodic nature where the fundamental frequency is about 600 Hz. The monkey's vocal tract apparently resembles a half wave resonator during the initial part of the cry where the higher subglottal air pressure produces a large glottal opening. During the final part of the cry the lower subglottal air pressure probably results in a smaller average glottal opening which results in resonances in the 1/4 wave mode.

The cry in Fig. 8 which we have been discussing is consistent with the distress cries recorded by Andrew and by Rowell and Hinde. Andrew, for example, notes that these cries have no energy below 2 KHz in contrast to the other cries he recorded. This is probably due to the coupling between the supralaryngeal vocal tract and the larynx. The monkey whose cry is presented in Fig. 8 has a vocal tract length that is half that of most adult monkeys, which accounts for the fact that no energy occurs below 4 KHz. The movements of the formants that also characterize these cries are due to the vertical movement of the monkey's larynges.

DISCUSSION

The cries of the non-human primates are similar to human speech insofar as they are produced by exciting a supralaryngeal vocal tract with glottal and noise sources. In bird calls the output of the syrinx determines the acoustic quality of the cry, but for the non-human primates, as for man, the character of the acoustic signal is determined by the source and the supralaryngeal vocal tract which acts as an acoustic filter. Our data indicate, however, that the non-human primates would not be capable of producing human speech even if they had the requisite
mental ability. Unlike man, the non-human primates do not appear to change the shape of their supralaryngeal vocal tracts by moving their tongues during the production of a cry. The only vocal tract shape that the monkeys and apes use is one similar to a slightly /a/-like schwa, i.e., a slightly flared uniform tube. The phonetic quality of human speech, in contrast, involves the continual modification of the shape of the supralaryngeal vocal tract by the tongue.

In Fig. 9 a schematized view of the pharyngeal and oral regions of the human supralaryngeal vocal tract is presented. Note that the anterior wall of the pharyngeal region is formed by the back of the tongue. The human tongue is thick in comparison with its length. The shape of the pharyngeal region constantly changes during the production of human speech as the tongue moves backwards and forwards. The cross-sectional area of the pharynx varies, for example, over a ten to one range for the vowels /a/ and /i/. The vowel /a/ is produced with a small pharyngeal cross-section while the /i/ is produced with a large cross-section. These variations in pharyngeal cross-sectional area are characteristic for consonants as well as vowels and they are essential in the production of human speech.

In Fig. 10 a semi-diagrammatic representation of the nose, palate, tongue, pharynx and larynx of a monkey, an ape, and man are reproduced from Negus's *Comparative Anatomy and Physiology of the Larynx*. Note the relative positions of the palate and larynx. The basis for the non-human primates' lack of tongue mobility appears to be anatomical. The pharyngeal region, which can vary its shape in man, has no real counterpart in these animals. Their larynges are positioned quite high compared to the human larynx, almost in line with the roof of the palate. The tongues of these animals are thin compared to man's. The non-human primates do not have a pharynx where the root of a thick
tongue forms a movable anterior wall. Zhinkin, for example, in a cineradiographic study of baboon cries, shows that the baboon can not vary the size of his pharynx. The tongues of the non-human primates are long and flat and their supralaryngeal vocal tracts cannot assume the range of shape changes characteristic of human speech.

In Fig. 11 we have reproduced a second illustration from Negus. Negus notes that there has been a continuing set of changes in the evolution of the upper respiratory system. He notes, for example, that Neanderthal man has "...no large pharyngeal resonator, as in modern man...the gap between the palate and the epiglottis has increased during evolutionary changes to that of modern man." (p. 195) If one examines the skulls of earlier hominoid fossils, like the one which Dart referred to as Australopithecus prometheus, the similarity between these phylogenetically primitive hominids and present day non-human primates is quite apparent. A plaster cast of the reconstructed skull of Australopithecus prometheus was compared with a chimpanzee skull. The overall lengths of both skulls were approximately 18 cm. The shape of the mandible and the palate, and the position of the foramen magnum relative to the palate, were very similar. The mandibles of both the chimpanzee and the Australopithecus left room for only a relatively thin tongue. The length of the supralaryngeal vocal tract was estimated to be approximately 12 cm for both specimens. Insofar as both vocal tracts would reflect the gross skeletal similarities that exist between Australopithecus and a modern chimpanzee, they both would lack a variable pharyngeal area. Australopithecus is thus in line with the evolutionary changes in the pharyngeal region that Negus notes. The earlier the fossil, the smaller the pharyngeal region is. Australopithecus prometheus, in all likelihood, could not have produced human speech since his vocal apparatus, insofar as
we are able to make deductions from fossil remains, appears to be quite similar to those of present day apes and monkeys. Man's closer ancestors may or may not have been able to produce the full range of human speech. Vallois,\textsuperscript{35} in his survey of skeletal evidence, cites the difficulties that have beset past attempts to infer the presence or absence of speech from anatomical arguments. These past difficulties were due primarily to the lack of a quantitative acoustic theory of speech production, so that the interpretation of the acoustic consequences of anatomical structures was tenuous at best.

The evolution of the vocal tract thus reflects, in part, its role in speech production. Speech cannot be simply regarded as an overlaid function that makes use of a mechanism that has evolved solely for the purposes of eating and breathing. The apes and monkeys have no difficulty in either breathing or eating. In fact they have better breathing systems than ours. The monkeys and most apes can, for example, seal their mouths off from the rest of the respiratory system because the high position of the larynx in these animals allows the epiglottis to close the mouth. The results of comparative anatomy indeed demonstrate that the role of the epiglottis is to close the mouth.\textsuperscript{1,2} A dog or a monkey can breathe while its mouth is full of food or water. The low position of the larynx in man also leads to difficulties when food is lodged in the larynx. This often can have fatal consequences. In no sense is the human larynx optimal for the purposes of respiration. Negus,\textsuperscript{1} for example, also shows that in contrast with the larynges of animals like the horse, the human larynx impedes the flow of air during respiration. Whereas the maximum opening of the larynx during respiration in a horse is greater than the area of the trachea, in man the maximum laryngeal area is only half of the tracheal area.
The cries of the non-human primates also differ from human speech insofar as the output of the larynx is less periodic. The glottal period often varies from one period to the next. In other words, large pitch perturbations\textsuperscript{17} often occur. At high levels of effort the output of the larynx often appears to be quite noisy and the waveform of the acoustic signal recorded from the animal resembles a tuned circuit excited by bursts of wideband noise. In some of the very loud cries the laryngeal output seems to be coupled to the resonances of the supralaryngeal vocal tract and energy appears to be present only at the formant frequencies. Although similar interactions between the laryngeal output and the supralaryngeal vocal tract occur in human speech they play a very small part in normal speech production.

The differences between the non-human and human laryngeal output again appear to have an anatomical basis. Kelemen,\textsuperscript{21,36,37,38} in a series of detailed anatomical studies, has noted a number of differences between human and non-human larynges although the larynges of all primates are superficially similar. One difference which probably accounts, in part, for breathy excitation is the presence of what Kelemen terms the "hiatus intervocalis" in the non-human primate larynx. The animal's larynx cannot be completely adducted and a glottal shunt always exists. The differences in the outputs of the non-human and human larynges may of course be due to differences in the ability to control the larynx by making fine adjustments of the tensions of the laryngeal muscles. Nevertheless, it is clear that these differences are connected with vocalization rather than with respiration.

CONCLUDING COMMENTS

The Linguistic Abilities of Apes and Monkeys:

It is not always clear from the acoustic data of this paper what the monkeys and apes were doing when they produced their
cries. The acoustic analysis indicates that some of the cries were made while the animal changed the overall length of his supralaryngeal vocal tract by either lip rounding or by moving his larynx up and down. Some of the interrupted cries seem to have been made by the animal's closing his epiglottis and/or his velum, and in some of the cries the vocal tract may have been behaving more like a half wave rather than a quarter wave resonator. What is clear, however, from the acoustic and anatomical data is (1) that these animals do not move their tongues during a cry and (2) that the laryngeal output tends to be aperiodic. These animals could not produce human speech even if they had the requisite mental ability. Their vocal apparatus is not adapted for the production of human speech; they lack laryngeal control and a pharyngeal region capable of varying its cross-sectional area.

The Evolution of the Human Vocal Mechanism:

Although we can say nothing about the larynx of man's immediate ancestors, we can see the evolution of a variable pharyngeal area from the skeletal evidence.\textsuperscript{1,39,40,41} Insofar as the presence of an output mechanism is a necessary condition for human language, and insofar as the phonologic features have an abstract as well as a physical basis in language,\textsuperscript{42} we can say that the earliest hominid beings did not have language. The evolution of the vocal tract seems to move consistently towards the mechanism of modern day man, as we proceed from one phylogenetic grade to the next. We do not find any "puzzling regressions" like those that occur when one attempts to correlate "cosmetic" aspects of anatomy like brow ridges with phylogenetic grade.\textsuperscript{43}
Man's Acquisition of Language:

It is not clear exactly when language came into being. If the arguments advanced by Dart concerning the long static period in the development of human culture are valid, then the acquisition of speech may be comparatively recent. Dart claims that man's culture was static from the time of the Australopithecines to about 25,000 years ago. If the level of culture were an index of whether language was necessary or not we could conclude that either all hominids from the Australopithecines onwards had speech, or that all these hominids lacked speech. Since Australopithecus prometheus probably did not have the ability to produce speech, we would therefore conclude that none of these hominids had speech. However, Dart's statement about the presence of a static culture until 25,000 years ago fails to take into account changes in toolmaking techniques that occurred in the lower paleolithic era, that is about 100,000 years ago. Dart also probably places too much importance on the presence of language in the development of culture. Although it is quite likely that an accelerated pace of cultural change at some period reflects the presence of language, which extends man's powers of abstract thought, other factors probably are always involved. Barnett, for example, notes the effects of intercultural contact on cultural change. The presence of language may therefore be only a necessary rather than a sufficient factor in man's cultural development. If we take the level of culture above the Australopithecine baseline as an index of the presence of language it is clear that human speech was already present by the upper paleolithic era.

We cannot, on the basis of skeletal evidence, tell exactly when human speech first appeared. We cannot, for example, state with certainty whether Neanderthal man, who is a comparatively recent hominid, could or could not have articulated the full
range of human speech. This is because we cannot determine the relationship between skeletal structure and soft tissue with the detail that would be necessary to justify a positive conclusion. We also do not even know the range of vocal tract dimensions that holds for the modern man, nor do we know to what extent small differences in the vocal tract will be mirrored in the acoustic signal. Other motor skills which we cannot infer from skeletal evidence, like the ability to execute the rapid, controlled articulatory maneuvers that are typical for consonants, are also necessary for the production of speech. Thus, while we can say with reasonable certainty that older fossil hominids did not possess human speech, the nearer the vocal apparatus of a fossil is to that of modern man, the greater is our uncertainty regarding his ability to produce human speech. This uncertainty merely reflects the fact that, at the present time, we know some of the factors that are necessary for the production of human speech, but we do not know what peripheral mechanisms and central controls would be sufficient for the production of human speech.

Cries versus Language:

The acquisition of language probably was an abrupt thing that came when the number of calls and cries that could be made with the available vocal mechanism increased to the point where it was more efficient to code features. We can speculate at a process in which the ability to make more and more cries gradually developed. The differentiated cries allowed the species to compete more successfully and mutations that led to the ability to make more cries were therefore retained. At some point the number of different cries that could be made increased markedly; perhaps the mobility of the pharynx increased to the point where the phonologic features of Back tongue position and
High tongue position could be produced. If the computational abilities of the species were sufficiently advanced, it would have been efficient to recode the phonologic features leading to an arbitrary relationship between sound and meaning.

The difference between a system of cries, even though it may be highly developed, and a language, is that the relationship between meaning and sounds is fixed for cries. A high pitched /a/, for example, may be the cry for pain. It always "means" pain no matter what sounds precede or follow it. In contrast the sound /a/ in a language may have no meaning in itself, nor might the sounds /m/ or /n/ in isolation. The sound sequence /man/ does have a particular semantic reference or meaning in English while the sound sequences /ma/ and /an/ have other meanings. Language essentially involves a two level process where it is necessary to interpose the rules of a grammar and a dictionary between the sound sequence and its meaning.

On the Matching of Speech Production and Speech Perception:

The two necessary conditions for the presence of speech and language, an output mechanism and central mental ability, may have developed together. Certainly everything that comes to mind about language seems to show this kind of optimization between output and internal computation. The simultaneous evolution of a mechanism for the production of speech and of man's mental ability would, for example, account for the close relationship that we find between speech production and speech perception. It would have been "natural" and "economical" for the constraints of speech production to be structured into the speech perception system if both of these abilities developed at the same pace. We would thus expect to find the speech recognition routines that involved a match with the constraints of speech production (the motor theory of speech perception) to
be structured into a speech perception center that would be species specific, rather than in the peripheral or central auditory systems which probably are similar for man and other animals.

CONCLUSION

Man has apparently developed special modifications of his vocal tract for the purposes of speech production. Just as an ability to use tools depends, in part, on having an opposable thumb and an upright posture, the ability to talk depends on our having a mouth, tongue, larynx, and pharynx that are adapted towards speech production. Speech production is thus not an overlaid function that makes use of a mechanism that has evolved solely for the purposes of eating and breathing. The apes and monkeys lack the adaptations that are essential for the production of human speech and they obviously have no difficulties in either breathing or eating. Human infants, in a sense, begin at the same point as the non-human primates. They do not move their tongues during a cry for the first weeks of life.\(^{45}\) By the sixth week of life, however, they begin to change the configurations of their supralaryngeal vocal tracts during a vocalization. The non-human primates never reach this point, though their general mental ability and physical dexterity is equivalent to, or better than, a human infant's at this age.\(^{16}\) Man's remote ancestors also lacked the output mechanism that is necessary for the production of speech and man may have acquired speech and speech adapted mechanisms at a comparatively recent time. We cannot say very much about the evolution of the central mechanisms that are necessary for speech and language, but looking at the "speech" abilities of present day monkeys and apes gives us some insights into the nature, the evolution, and the acquisition of man's linguistic ability.
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Figure 1.

Spectrogram of cry produced at moderate intensity by 3 year old gorilla. The bandwidth of the analyzing filter was 300 Hz. The fundamental frequency of phonation ranged from 100 to 120 Hz. The configuration of the gorilla's supralaryngeal vocal tract apparently approximated a uniform tube open at one end, the schwa vowel, since the formant frequencies of the cry occurred at 500, 1500, and 2400 Hz.
Figure 2.

Spectrogram of cry produced at high level of intensity by two year old chimpanzee. The bandwidth of the analyzing filter was 300 Hz. Note the presence of voicing "striations" during the transitions in the initial part of the cry. The fundamental frequency of phonation is 140 Hz. The transitions thus must reflect changes in the length of the supralaryngeal vocal tract.
Spectrogram of cry produced at low degree of vocal effort by two year old chimpanzee. The bandwidth of the analyzing filter was 300 Hz. The fundamental frequency was 150 Hz. and 210 Hz. respectively for the two "bursts." The formant frequencies occurred at 650, 1650 and 3100 Hz. The chimpanzee's supralaryngeal vocal tract thus approximated a slightly flared /a/-like uniform tube open at one end.
Figure 4.

Spectrogram of loud chimpanzee cry that sounds like diphthong /aw/. The bandwidth of the analyzing filter was 600 Hz. Note the decrease in the high frequency energy of the glottal excitation which is apparent in the change in density in the second, third, and fourth "bars" towards the end of the cry. Note that there are no transitions involving the first and second formants. The ape's tongue thus did not change the shape of his supralaryngeal vocal tract.
Figure 5.

Spectrogram of aggressive "bark" of rhesus monkey. The bandwidth of the analyzing filter was 1200 Hz. Formant frequencies occurred at 1, 3, and 6-8 KHz.
Figure 6.

Oscillogram of same utterance as Fig. 5. The tape recording was played at 1/4 speed. The fundamental frequency of phonation was about 400 Hz. The waveform resembles that characteristic of extremely hoarse human vocalization.
Figure 7.

Side and top views of a casting of the oral cavity of an adult rhesus monkey. The monkey's tongue and lips were positioned in an approximation of an aggressive "bark." Note the uniform cross section of most of the oral cavity.
Figure 8.

Spectrogram of distress cry of rhesus monkey. The bandwidth of the analyzing filter was 1200 Hz. The waveform of this cry shows that energy is present at only the resonances of the monkey's supralaryngeal vocal tract during the initial part of the cry.
Fig. 8

Rhesus Monkey

5" = 1/4 sec.
Figure 9.

Schematized view of the human oral and pharyngeal region. Note the relative thickness of the tongue. The anterior wall of the pharynx in man is formed by the tongue and the cross-sectional area of this back cavity can vary over a ten to one range. A variable pharyngeal region is essential for the production of back vowels and consonants.
Figure 10.

Semi-diagrammatic representation of the nose, palate, tongue, pharynx, and larynx of a monkey and of man from Negus's *Comparative Anatomy and Physiology of the Larynx*. Note the relative position of the palate and larynx in the two diagrams. The monkey lacks a pharyngeal region whose anterior wall can move. The monkey cannot change the configuration of his supralaryngeal vocal tract by means of a thick mobile tongue.
Figure 11.

The evolution of the vocal tract, from Negus's *Comparative Anatomy and Physiology of the Larynx*. Note the changes towards the vocal tract of modern man. The larynx has descended and a pharyngeal region that can change its cross-sectional area has developed. The earliest hominids, e.g., *Australopithecus prometheus*, had supralaryngeal vocal tracts that resemble those of the present day non-human primates. They thus could not have articulated human speech.