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Abstract. Repetition priming is examined for alternating and nonalternating morphologically-related inflected nouns. In Experiments 1 and 2, latencies to targets in nominative and dative/locative cases, respectively, were invariant over case of prime. In Experiment 3, latencies to nominative-case nouns were the same whether they were primed by forms in which the spelling and pronunciation of the common stem were shared ("nonalternating") or not ("alternating") with nominative form. Results are interpreted as reflecting lexical organization among the members of a noun system. In Experiments 1 and 2, the pattern of latencies to primes suggests a satellite organization in which nominative forms are more strongly linked to oblique forms than are oblique forms to each other. In Experiment 3, atypical cases of alternating forms showed a different pattern of prime latencies suggesting that the organization within a noun system may differ for alternating and nonalternating forms.

The research we describe examines the role of morphology in the reading lexicon of speakers of Serbo-Croatian, the dominant language of Yugoslavia. The morphology of Serbo-Croatian is particularly interesting to study because it is substantially richer than that of English. Generally, in Serbo-Croatian inflectional affixes are appended to nouns and adjectives with the particular termination varying according to case, gender, and number. Analogously, for verbs, the inflectional suffixes and sometimes the infixes may vary with tense, aspect, person, number, and sometimes gender of the subject. The formation of diminutives, agentives, and other derivations—which are characteristic of Slavic languages—is similarly complex. Consequently, each Serbo-Croatian base word has many variants, yielding extensive families of morphologically-related words.
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[HASKINS LABORATORIES: Status Report on Speech Research SR-86/87 (1986)]
The present series of experiments explores in particular how the singular case inflected forms of a word are related in the internal lexicon of adult readers who are native speakers of Serbo-Croatian. The experiments represent an extension of earlier work by Lukatela and his colleagues (Lukatela, Gligorijević, Kostić, & Turvey, 1980; Lukatela, Mandić, Gligorijević, Kostić, Savić, & Turvey, 1978) that investigated how individual inflected forms are recognized.

There are seven cases of inflected noun forms in Serbo-Croatian and they differ in their frequency of occurrence in printed text (Kostić, 1965). When singular inflected cases were presented in a lexical decision task, decision times for the nominative singular form of a noun were less than decision times for the same noun in (a) dative/locative and instrumental singular cases (Lukatela, et al., 1978) and (b) genitive and instrumental cases (Lukatela, et al., 1980). The decision times for all non-nominative (oblique) forms were equivalent. Lukatela et al. (1978, 1980) proposed that in the lexicon, the singular cases of a noun comprise a satellite-like system where the nominative singular of the noun or base form has a special status in that it provides a nucleus around which the oblique cases cluster in a uniform fashion. This organization applies for inflected forms of both familiar and less familiar base words. That is, frequency of the nominative base word but not frequency of inflectional case governs reaction time.

The satellite-entries model reflects a position on a debated issue in the literature on how morphological structure may influence word recognition (see Caramazza, Miceli, Silveri, & Laudanna, 1985). In that literature, the lexical entries are considered to consist of stem morphemes or, alternatively, of whole words. In the former case, polymorphemic words are decomposed into stem and affix prior to lexical access (Taft, 1979; Taft & Forster, 1975); in the latter, they are not. Instead, the lexicon may comprise a morphological principle of organization so that morphologically-related words are near-neighbors (Stanners, Neiser, Hernon, & Hall, 1979) but lexical entries are accessed from whole words. In the studies by Lukatela et al. (1978; 1980), the same general pattern of decision latencies was obtained for masculine and feminine nouns in nominative and oblique singular cases despite differences in the number of morphological transformations between nominative and oblique cases. Specifically, in masculine words, the nominative singular is uninflected and therefore serves as the base morpheme for other inflected forms. In feminine words, the nominative singular is inflected. It includes an "A" affix, which is replaced to form other inflected forms. This finding suggested to Lukatela et al. that entries for each case in a noun system are represented completely—that is, they are not "decomposed" into a shared base morpheme plus an affix. It should be pointed out that no direct comparisons of gender were reported in that work, although failure to find evidence of a case-by-gender interaction is critical to support the non-decomposition characterization of satellite entries.

The results of a second study also suggest that morpheme bases do not constitute the units of access to the noun entries in a Serbo-Croatian lexicon. In an experiment designed to evaluate BOSS structure (Taft, 1979) as a unit of lexical access in Serbo-Croatian (Feldman, Kostić, Lukatela, & Turvey, 1983), BOSS units (which included the first unprefixed syllable as well as the longest sequence of consonants that can legally occur in syllable-final position) and base morphemes were fully redundant. This was due, in part, to general constraints on orthographic structure for Serbo-Croatian and in part to the criteria for selecting stimulus materials.
The outcome of the experiment was that where two different phonological interpretations of a letter string were equally possible such that letter strings were "bivalent," latencies in lexical decision were retarded as long as the entire word, that is, the base morpheme, and the inflectional affix were bivalent. When only the base morpheme was bivalent, decision latencies were not changed relative to unequivocal controls. Feldman and her colleagues (1983) argued that most varieties of models that entail decomposition to a base morpheme as the unit for lexical access in Serbo-Croatian would predict that all words that included a bivalent base morpheme should be affected.

These outcomes have served as the basis of arguments against decomposing isolated inflected nouns to a base morpheme in order to access their lexical entry. It should be noted, however, that an interpretation of some of these outcomes as evidence for or against a morphemic representation for access may be inconclusive, in part because a distinction between morphological processes that arise prior or subsequent to lexical access may not be possible in a lexical decision task (Burani, Salmaso, & Caramazza, 1984; Henderson, Wallis, & Knight, 1984; Seidenberg & Tanenhaus, 1986).

The present series of experiments extends the satellite-entries account along two lines of inquiry. 1) We ask whether decision latencies to inflected forms of a noun correlate strongly. If members of a noun system are associated in the lexicon, then, nonlexical factors being equal, decision latencies to inflected forms of a word will tend to be correlated. 2) We ask whether the nominative singular can prime and be primed by its oblique-case satellites as effectively as can an oblique case by other oblique cases or by a nominative. Reductions in decision latency to words in appropriate contexts or facilitation by priming is sometimes explained in terms of activation among entries in the lexicon and is assumed to reflect, at least in part, lexical organization (e.g., Seidenberg & Tanenhaus, in press). Magnitude of facilitation then can provide an index of the cohesion among lexical entries in a noun system. A variation of the lexical decision procedure, repetition priming, permits extensive investigation of the organization among regular and alternating inflected forms in the Serbo-Croatian lexicon.

In the repetition priming procedure (Forbach, Stanners, & Hochhaus, 1974; Scarborough, Cortese, & Scarborough, 1977; Stanners et al., 1979) each word and pseudoword is presented twice (with a lag of intervening items) for a lexical decision judgment and the facilitation to decision latency or priming due to repetition is measured. (The first presentation of the item is the "prime." The second presentation is the "target.") With English materials, it is not necessary that the identical word be repeated as prime and target for facilitation to occur. Generally, morphologically-related words including inflections and derivations also reduce target decision latency—sometimes as fully as an identical repetition (Fowler, Napps, & Feldman, 1985; Stanners et al., 1979). For example, both the inflected form "manages" and the derived form "management" can facilitate a subsequent presentation of "manage." Sometimes, the effect is equivalent to an identical presentation of "manage." (When the facilitation with morphological relatives as primes is statistically equivalent to the facilitation with an identical repetition [following Fowler et al., 1985], the outcome is "full" repetition priming. Priming that is significant, but significantly less than with an identical prime, is "partial.")
Repetition priming does not occur among orthographically-similar but morphologically-unrelated words, e.g., "ribbon" and "rib" (Hanson & Wilkenfeld, 1985; Murrell & Morton, 1974; Napps & Fowler, 1986) but it does occur when morphologically related primes and targets have discrepant pronunciations and/or spellings, e.g., "health" and "heal" (Hanson & Wilkenfeld, 1985; Fowler et al., 1985; Napps & Fowler, 1983). Results such as these support an interpretation of repetition priming effects as primarily lexical in origin (Fowler et al., 1985; Stanners et al., 1979) although there may also be a nonlexical or episodic component (Feustel, Shiffrin, & Salasoo, 1983). Episodic contributions to repetition priming based on an examination of derivational forms in Serbo-Croatian are considered elsewhere (Feldman, 1984; Feldman, in press; Feldman & Moskovljević, 1986). Currently, it appears that facilitation due to presentation of morphological relatives reflects lexical organization, but the difference between numerically full and partial priming may be at least in part episodic (Fowler et al., 1985). The longevity of the effect with morphologically-related words has been offered as evidence that repetition priming may be distinct from semantic or associative priming (Dannenbring & Briand, 1982; Henderson et al., 1984; Napps, 1985). One way to capture this distinction is by proposing that morphological relatives activate the same lexical entry whereas semantically associated words activate different entries.

Recent research has also identified a strategic contribution to the repetition priming effect (Forster & Davis, 1984; Oliphant, 1983). As anticipated by Fowler et al. (1985), the large proportion of affixed primes followed after a lag by their base forms may have permitted subjects to predict future targets from the prime. However, they found priming at long lags between prime and target (48 items). Moreover Napps (1985) has demonstrated significant facilitation by morphological relatives even when only a very small proportion of morphemes is repeated. In light of these findings, the facilitation evidenced in repetition priming cannot be predominantly strategic in origin. Nevertheless, the experimental design introduced in that study as well as in the present one does not prevent adoption of such a strategy by the subject, especially when base words serve as targets and inflections and derivations serve as primes.

The present series of experiments employs the repetition priming paradigm to investigate the lexical organization of Serbo-Croatian inflected noun systems in adults and proceeds as follows: In Experiment 1, nominative case words served as targets and we asked whether, for real words, repetition priming was full such that primes morphologically related to their targets were as effective as identity primes. As a byproduct, this procedure permitted a replication of the original study on the satellite-entries account; specifically, it allowed an examination of the pattern of decision latencies for nominative and non-nominative forms of many words as it reflects the structure of the noun system. In addition, word gender was treated as a variable to ascertain that it did not interact with other effects as a decomposition account might predict. Finally, the pattern of correlations among pairs of satellite entries was examined. As discussed above, according to the satellites-entries account (Lukatela et al., 1978, 1980), the nominative singular case of both masculine and feminine words enjoys a privileged status in the satellite configuration. Taken in isolation, therefore, the outcome of Experiment 1 is ambiguous. Plausibly, it reflects the coherence of the noun system. Alternatively, it reflects the special role of the nominative. In Experiment 2 the pattern of facilitation for an oblique
(viz., dative/locative) case target was investigated. Once again, we examined the pattern of facilitation by various primes to ask about the lexical organization of satellite-entries and specifically about whether the nominative singular case has a special status relative to oblique cases. In Experiment 3, the lexical organization for nouns that undergo sound and spelling changes in at least one of their inflected case forms was investigated. Accordingly, the similarity of form between prime and target was reduced. Generally, decision latencies to primes and the pattern of intercorrelations were interpreted with respect to the structure of the satellite system and the pattern of facilitation in repetition priming was interpreted to reflect the coherence or organization within the noun system. Together, Experiments 1, 2, and 3 provide an elaborated account of the structure and coherence of the noun system of the mature reader of Serbo-Croatian, thereby characterizing the skilled reader's sensitivity to aspects of morphological structure.

Experiment 1

The first experiment examined priming of nominative case nouns by identical and morphologically-related words. It addressed three questions: 1) Does the presentation of an inflected form of a noun facilitate lexical decision to a subsequently presented nominative form of the same noun? Positive evidence suggests that the skilled reader of Serbo-Croatian is sensitive to morphological relatedness among words in that accessing one form necessarily accesses its morphological relatives. 2) Do decision latencies for prime presentations of masculine and feminine words pattern in different ways? If not, then in replication of Lukatela and his colleagues (1978; 1980), inflected nouns do not appear to be accessed from a base morpheme and then transformed or checked (in a fashion that affects reaction time) for the appropriateness of its affix. 3) Do decision latencies for inflected forms of a noun correlate? A positive correlation in conjunction with significant facilitation due to repetition suggests that all inflected forms of a noun access the same lexical entry.

Method

Subjects. Forty-two students from the Department of Psychology at the University of Belgrade participated in the experiment. All were native speakers of Serbo-Croatian and all had vision that was normal or corrected-to-normal. They participated in the study in partial fulfillment of course requirements.

Stimulus materials. Twenty-four Serbo-Croatian words and twenty-four pseudowords were included in the experiment. Words contained four or five letters in their nominative form and all were judged by four independent raters to be very familiar. Half were feminine and half were masculine in gender; words in the two genders were matched on length. No words were included that contained sequences of more than two consonants. Pseudowords were generated by changing one or two letters (vowel with vowel or consonant with consonant) in other real words with the same orthographic structure as the real words in the experiment. All materials were printed in Roman characters.
Each word appeared in three different singular cases: nominative, dative/locative, and instrumental singular. Each pseudoword also appeared with affixes for masculine or feminine words in the same inflectional cases. Words were chosen so that inflectional suffixation did not alter the spelling of the base form. Examples of regular masculine and feminine words in their seven inflected-case forms appear in Table 1.

Table 1
Examples of Regular Masculine and Feminine Singular Inflected Nouns and their Frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>MASCULINE (FREQ.)</th>
<th>FEMININE (FREQ.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominative (N)</td>
<td>DINAR</td>
<td>RUPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitive (G)</td>
<td>DINARA</td>
<td>RUPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dative (D)</td>
<td>DINARU</td>
<td>RUPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accusative (A)</td>
<td>DINAR</td>
<td>RUPU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental (I)</td>
<td>DINAROM</td>
<td>RUPOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locative (L)</td>
<td>DINARU</td>
<td>RUPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocative (V)</td>
<td>DINARE</td>
<td>RUPO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Procedure. Subjects performed a lexical decision task. As each letter string appeared, they hit a telegraph key with both hands to indicate whether or not it was a word. They hit the farther key (with index fingers) to signal "yes" and the closer key (with thumbs) to signal "no." All letter strings were typed in Roman script, then photographed and mounted as slides. Stimuli were projected from a carousel projector equipped with a modified camera lens as a shutter and displayed on a screen until after subjects responded (approximately 750 ms). Subjects viewed the screen from a distance of 1 m and letterstrings subtended a visual angle between 2.6° and 3.9°. A dark field immediately preceded and followed the display. The interval between experimental trials was controlled by the experimenter and lasted about 2000 ms. Reaction times were measured from the onset of the stimulus display and subjects were tested individually.

Design. Three test orders were created. Each one included three priming conditions distinguished by the inflectional case of the prime, that is, nominative singular, dative/locative singular, or instrumental singular. (Case of prime was indicated as N1, D1, or I1, respectively.) All targets were in nominative case. Half were masculine gender and half were feminine. (The conditions of nominative targets preceded by nominative, dative/locative, and instrumental singular primes were indicated as NN, DN, and IN, respectively.)
Words appeared in the same serial position across all test orders although the inflectional form of the prime varied. For example, the word RUPA (meaning "hole") was presented in its nominative form as the target in the same serial position in all three test orders but it was preceded in the same position by either RUPA, RUPI, or RUPOM as a prime.

Each subject viewed one test order. Therefore, subjects saw each morpheme twice, once in a prime and once in a target. The average lag between the presentation of the prime and the target was ten items and lags ranged from seven to thirteen. Filler items were introduced to maintain appropriate lags and a practice list of ten items preceded the test list.

To summarize the experimental design, across test orders each target word in nominative case was preceded by its prime in nominative, dative/locative, and instrumental form. Within each order, a base morpheme occurred once in a target and once in a prime, and case of prime varied with item. Stated alternatively, all subjects viewed the three cases of prime on different target items and across test orders, and each word was preceded by each case of prime.

Results

Errors and extreme reaction times (greater than 1200 ms or less than 350 ms) were excluded from all analyses. This procedure eliminated fewer than 4% of all responses. In addition, when a subject responded incorrectly to one member of a prime-target pair, both responses were excluded from subsequent analyses. The error pairing procedure eliminated an additional 3% of all responses.

Mean reaction time for correct responses to nominative forms (Conditions N1, NN, DN, IN) of masculine and feminine words were calculated and subjected to analyses of variance. Each comparison included an analysis for subjects averaging over items (F1) and for items, averaging over subjects (F2; items' analysis, reported in parentheses). Means for Experiment 1 are summarized in Table 2.

For words, the effect of condition (N1, NN, DN, IN) was significant $F_1(3,114) = 26.53$, $MSE = 1759$, $p < .001$ ($F_2(3,66) = 11.41$, $MSE = 1244$, $p < .001$). The effect of gender was not significant although the interaction of condition by gender approached significance in a subjects' analysis but not in the items' analysis, $F_1(3,144) = 2.38$, $MSE = 1651$, $p < .07$ ($F_2(3,66) = .26$, $MSE = 1058$, $p < .85$). A second analysis including only nominative targets (NN, DN, IN) revealed no significant differences among targets as a function of case of prime, and no interaction involving gender. Therefore, the significant effect of condition in the earlier analyses is due to the difference between the N1 condition on the one hand and the three target conditions on the other; thus priming was full.

For pseudowords, neither the effect of condition nor gender was significant although their interaction was significant by a subject's analysis only, $F_1(3,114) = 3.98$, $MSE = 2032$, $p < .01$ ($F_2(3,66) = 1.33$, $MSE = 1864$, $p < .27$). Inspection of pseudoword means indicated that familiarity with pseudoword targets slowed rejection latencies in the case of pseudo-masculine noun forms and speeded rejection latencies in the case of pseudo-feminine noun forms. Because the effect of condition on pseudowords was not significant, no analysis combining words and pseudowords is included.
An analysis of variance on mean reaction times for correct responses to word primes (Conditions N1, D1, I1) revealed a significant effect of case, $F_1(2,76) = 40.22$, MSE = 4269, $p < .001$ ($F_2(2,44) = 25.95$, MSE = 2036, $p < .001$). There was no effect of gender and importantly for the satellite interpretation, there was no interaction of case by gender $F_1(2,76) = 1.89$, MSE = 2750, $p < .16$ ($F_2(2,44) = .78$, MSE = 2036, $p < .47$). Inspection of word means showed that for both masculine and feminine words, the nominative case was recognized faster than the oblique cases and oblique cases did not differ between themselves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORDS</th>
<th>MASCULINE</th>
<th>FEMININE</th>
<th>COMBINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIME</td>
<td>TARGET</td>
<td>PRIME</td>
<td>TARGET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>NN</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSEUDOWORDS</th>
<th>MASCULINE</th>
<th>FEMININE</th>
<th>COMBINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIME</td>
<td>TARGET</td>
<td>PRIME</td>
<td>TARGET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>NN</td>
<td>704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>DN</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An analogous analysis on pseudoword primes showed a significant effect of case, $F_1(2,76) = 20.76$, MSE = 4300, $p < .001$ ($F_2(2,44) = 3.92$, MSE = 7006, $p < .03$), and an interaction of case by gender that was significant by the subjects' analysis only, $F_1(2,76) = 4.90$, MSE = 2800, $p < .01$ ($F_2(2,44) = .60$, MSE = 7006, $p < .56$). The pattern of pseudoword means revealed longer rejection latencies for instrumental forms than for nominative forms. For pseudo-feminines, dative/locative latencies were similar to nominatives. For pseudo-masculines, however, dative/locative latencies were intermediate between nominative and instrumental latencies and significantly different from each. All contrasts were significant at $p < .01$. 
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No analyses were performed on the error data because some subjects made no errors and all subjects were very accurate. Out of 8 possible errors per condition, the mean number of errors in conditions N1, D1, I1 for words and pseudowords respectively were .47, .49, .18 and .62, .69, .64. The mean number of errors on targets in each condition (NN, DN, IN) computed independently of the error pairing procedure was less than .20 for both words and pseudowords.

Finally, mean reaction times for each prime word in its Nominative (N1), Dative/Locative (D1), and Instrumental (I1) form were computed and inflected forms of each word were correlated. To the extent that the various members of a noun system share a lexical entry or are equivalent on factors that contribute to reaction time in a lexical decision task (Balota & Chumbley, 1984), correlations between latencies for any pair of inflected forms will be significant and all pair-wise correlations will be equal. The correlations of nominative with dative (N1, D1), nominative with instrumental (N1, I1) and dative with instrumental (D1, I1) were $r = .57$, $r = .49$, and $r = .67$, respectively. (For correlations based on 24 items where df = 22, values of $r$ greater than 1.401 are significant at the .05 level.) Analogous correlations computed on pseudo-nominative, pseudo-dative, and pseudo-instrumental latencies did not approach significance.

Discussion

Significant priming of nominative targets occurred when real words were presented for lexical decision in a repetition priming procedure. The effect was obtained with both identity primes (NN) and inflected relatives (i.e., morphological primes DN, IN). The means of the three target conditions did not differ significantly and their numerical values differed overall only by 10 ms. This outcome, namely, statistically full priming with small numerical differences between means replicated results reported previously with English materials (Fowler et al., 1985). One account provided by Fowler et al. is that the small numerical differences in priming may reflect an episodic component that augments the lexical effects of repetition priming by selectively inflating the identity prime condition. However, as argued elsewhere, this effect cannot be visual in nature (Feldman, 1984; Feldman & Moskovljević, in press) because the magnitude of facilitation is as large when prime and target are printed in different alphabets as when they are printed in the same alphabet. Evidently, in the present experiment, presentation of related inflected-case forms of a word facilitated subsequent lexical decision about that word in nominative case and both identical and morphological forms primed fully. This outcome can be captured in terms of a full spreading of activation among individual inflected forms of a noun system (i.e., satellite entries) and its nominative nucleus.

The suggestion of an interaction of condition by gender for words indicated that the magnitude of the facilitation due to repetition was larger for masculine nouns than feminine nouns. However, inspection of means revealed that the effect was carried by a difference between masculine and feminine nominative primes (N1) rather than by targets (NN, DN, IN) and the outcome of an analysis restricted to target latencies supported this interpretation. In summary, decision latencies to masculine and feminine target words were equally fast when an identical or morphologically-related prime preceded it.
Among pseudowords, evidence of a condition-by-gender interaction made the absence of any overall facilitation with repetition equivocal. Inspection of means suggested that masculine-gender targets were slowed by a previous presentation of the identical prime whereas feminine-gender targets were facilitated (collapsing over gender, therefore, gave no evidence of facilitation with repetition). This effect is curious because neither gender nor the interaction of condition by gender was significant for real word targets and because the only difference between masculine and feminine nominative case pseudowords was the addition of an "A" suffix on feminine forms. In all other respects, the assignment of gender and consequently, inflectional affixes to the two groups of pseudowords was essentially arbitrary. At this point, we can suggest no explanation as to why repetition sometimes facilitated and sometimes impeded decision latencies for pseudowords.

The primary outcome of the present experiment, based on the pattern of facilitation using the repetition priming procedure, was that both nominative and oblique case forms can prime a nominative target. Identity primes and morphologically-related primes both exhibited statistically-full priming with nominative targets. Following Stanners et al. (1979) and Fowler et al. (1985), we interpret repetition priming as an index of the interrelation among forms of a noun in the internal lexicon. By this convention, all oblique-case forms were tightly linked to their nominative nucleus. The facilitation evidenced in the repetition priming procedure with inflected nouns of Serbo-Croatian can be conceptualized to mean that once a satellite entry is accessed, the nominative nucleus of the noun system is also activated.

The latency data for word primes provided a replication of previous results on inflected forms in Serbo-Croatian (Lukatela et al., 1978; 1980). Nominatives were recognized faster than other cases and the oblique cases did not distinguish among themselves. This outcome suggested that nominative forms are most accessible in the internal lexicon. Importantly, there was no interaction with gender. Masculine and feminine words displayed the same pattern of latencies among inflected forms despite differences in the complexity of deriving inflected forms from a nominative form. Equally strong correlations between mean latencies of two oblique cases of a word (D1, I1) or of a nominative and one oblique case (N1, D1; N1, I1) support this interpretation.

In conclusion, the outcome of the present experiment buttresses the interpretation of Lukatela et al. (1980), in that it provided no evidence that the morphological relatedness among inflected forms of a noun was represented in the lexicon by a shared base morpheme and a set of transformations whose complexity governs recognition latency. It appears that masculine nouns, where the nominative singular and base morpheme are isomorphic, and feminine nouns, where the nominative singular includes an "A" affixed to a base morpheme, are represented lexically in the same manner.

In the pseudoword prime data, decision latencies varied with number of letters. For pseudo-feminine items, nominative and dative/locative forms had the same number of letters and they had similar reaction times. Both differed from instrumental forms, which are one letter longer. For pseudo-masculine items, by contrast, nominative forms, which have the fewest letters, were recognized significantly faster than dative/locatives, which are one letter longer.
longer than nominatives. Both of these were faster than instrumentals, which are two letters longer than nominatives. Length effects for orthographically regular but meaningless letter strings in lexical decision have been reported previously in English and in other languages (e.g., Feldman & Turvey, 1983; Hudson & Bergman, 1985).

As reviewed above, the satellite entries account posits a separate and complete entry for each affixed word and grants a special status to the nominative case. Because nominative case forms served as targets in Experiment 1, the outcome of the experiment (viz., full priming with nominative targets) is inconclusive with respect to lexical organization within the noun system. The present outcome may reflect the alleged privileged position of the nominative case in the satellite configuration. Alternatively, the same result could also arise if the nominative singular case of a noun did not possess a special status within the noun system, that is, if the principle of organization were uniform among all inflected forms. According to the homogeneous interpretation, however, the same pattern of full priming effects would emerge with any oblique-case target. In Experiment 2, we continue to explore the characteristics of the noun system. We use the pattern of facilitation in repetition priming to look for inhomogeneities in organization among entries. As above, it was our intention to ascertain how the principle of morphological relatedness operates within the noun system, specifically, whether as predicted by the satellite-entries account, there exist some inflected-case forms that retain a privileged status when the oblique form of a noun must be activated.

Experiment 2

In Experiment 2, we asked whether primes that are morphologically related to their targets facilitate recognition of oblique case targets as effectively as they facilitate recognition of nominative targets. Priming of dative/locative case targets by nominative, dative/locative, and instrumental cases was examined. As in the first experiment, an identity prime condition served as the criterion for determining full repetition priming. If inflected forms are defined only relative to the nominative singular, as posited in the satellite-entries account, then the instrumental singular case of a noun may facilitate lexical decision on the dative/locative singular case of a noun less than the dative/locative case itself. That is, the priming of dative/locative target by instrumental case forms may be partial. Alternatively, if the organization among cases of a noun is homogeneous, then priming for oblique targets should be comparable to priming with nominative targets.

Method

Subjects. Thirty-nine first-year students from the Department of Psychology at the University of Belgrade participated in Experiment 2. None had participated in Experiment 1. All were native speakers of Serbo-Croatian, had normal or corrected-to-normal vision, and had never participated previously in a psycholinguistic experiment.

Stimulus materials. The same words and pseudowords presented in Experiment 1 were used in Experiment 2. Moreover, the original order of presentation was preserved with one exception. In the test list for Experiment 2, the dative/locative form rather than the nominative form appeared as the target.
In Experiment 2, as in the first experiment, all letter strings were printed in Roman characters.

**Procedure.** The procedure in Experiment 2 was identical to that of the previous experiment.

**Results**

Errors and extreme response times were eliminated from the present analyses according to the same criteria as in Experiment 1. Fewer than 4% of all responses were eliminated according to these criteria. An additional 2% of all responses were eliminated by the error pairing procedure. Table 3 summarizes the mean recognition times for dative/locative target words and pseudowords in Experiment 2.

### Table 3

Mean Reaction Times (ms) to Dative/Locative Targets (ND, DD, ID) and their Nominative, Dative/Locative, and Instrumental Case Primes (N1, D1, I1) in Experiment 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORDS</th>
<th>MASCUINE</th>
<th>FEMININE</th>
<th>COMBINED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRIME</td>
<td>TARGET</td>
<td>PRIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>ND 576</td>
<td>N1 593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>DD 563</td>
<td>D1 649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>ID 580</td>
<td>I1 655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MASCULINE</td>
<td>PSEUDOWORDS</td>
<td>FEMININE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRIME</td>
<td>TARGET</td>
<td>PRIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>ND 691</td>
<td>N1 715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>DD 688</td>
<td>D1 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>ID 710</td>
<td>I1 739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyses of variance with condition (D1, ND, DD, ID) and gender as independent variables were performed using subjects and items (in parentheses) as random variables. Consistent with the outcome of Experiment 1, the effect of condition was significant for real words, \( F_1(3,114) = 59.48, \text{MSe} = 2158, p < .001 \) \( (F_2(3,66) = 27.54, \text{MSe} = 1435, p < .001) \). The effect of gender and the interaction of condition by gender were significant in the subjects' analysis but not in the items' analysis, \( F_1(1,38) = 6.27, \text{MSe} = 1728, p < .02 \) \( (F_2 \).
A subsequent set of analyses including only dative/locative target latencies (conditions ND, DD, ID) revealed a significant effect of prime condition, $F_1(2,76) = 4.02$, MSe = 2028, $p < .02$ ($F_2(2,44) = 3.17$, MSe = 790, $p < .05$) such that identity primes were more effective than instrumental primes. There was also a significant effect of gender by the subjects' analysis, $F_1(1,38) = 20.77$, MSe = 1125, $p < .001$ but not by the items' analysis ($F_2(1,22) = 3.07$, MSe = 2340, $p < .09$). The interaction of condition by gender was not significant.

An analogous analysis of pseudoword latencies showed a significant effect of prime condition, $F_1(3,114) = 6.77$, MSe = 2582, $p < .001$ ($F_2(3,66) = 2.75$, MSe = 1952, $p < .05$); there was no effect of gender and no interaction of condition by gender. A subsequent analysis of pseudoword targets indicated a significant effect of condition such that instrumental case primes facilitated less than dative/locative or nominative case primes, $F_1(2,76) = 3.37$, MSe = 2848, $p < .04$. This effect was not significant in the stimulus analysis, however, $F_2(2,44) = 2.06$, MSe = 1430, $p < .14$. When word and pseudoword latencies (D1, ND, DD, ID) were entered into one analysis, the interaction of condition by lexicality was significant, $F_1(3,114) = 15.72$, MSe = 1938, $p < .001$ ($F_2(3,132) = 4.81$, MSe = 1950, $p < .003$). Words were facilitated more by repetition than were pseudowords.

An analysis of word primes revealed a significant effect of case, $F_1(2,76) = 27.49$, MSe = 2762, $p < .09$ ($F_2(2,44) = 22.14$, MSe = 1055, $p < .001$). Neither the effect of gender nor the interaction of case by gender approached significance. An analogous analysis of pseudoword prime latencies revealed a significant effect of case, $F_1(2,76) = 19.32$, MSe = 2826, $p < .001$ ($F_2(2,44) = 7.02$, MSe = 2393, $p < .002$) and a significant effect of gender, $F_1(1,38) = 9.18$, MSe = 1913, $p < .01$ ($F_2(1,22) = .75$, MSe = 7156, $p < .40$). The interaction of case by gender was significant by the subjects analysis only, $F_1(2,76 = 3.68)$, MSe = 3046, $p < .03$ ($F_2(2,44) = 1.44$, MSe = 2393, $p < .25$).

No analysis could be performed on the error data. Out of 8 possible errors per condition, the mean number of errors on conditions N1, D1, I1 for words and pseudowords respectively were .27, .29, .42 and .34, .24, .51. The mean number of errors on targets in each condition (ND, DD, ID) computed independently of the error pairing procedure was less than .30 for both words and pseudowords.

Finally, mean recognition latencies for prime words in their nominative, dative/locative, and instrumental forms were computed and correlated for each word pair. For nominative with dative (N1, D1), nominative with instrumental (N1, I1), and dative with instrumental (D1, I1), the correlations were $r = .69$, $r = .66$, and $r = .71$, respectively. These correlations with df = 22, are all significant at the $p < .05$ level. No pseudoword correlations were significant.

Discussion

Overall, decision latencies were prolonged in the second experiment relative to the first. In light of the claim by Forster and Davis (1984) that magnitude of facilitation varies with word frequency (and hence reaction time)
in unmasked presentations, no comparisons across experiments are offered. Inspection of decision latencies for word and pseudoword primes revealed a deviation from the characteristic satellite entries outcome. For words, dative/locative case primes were faster than instrumental case primes. Moreover, for pseudowords of both genders, dative/locative and nominative case primes were nearly equivalent. It appears that the preponderance of dative/locative case target words and pseudowords may have facilitated all dative/locative forms. This finding does not invalidate the analysis of repetition priming, however, because all comparisons are on dative/locative case targets.

The strategy for interpreting repetition priming effects adopted in the present study has been to compare identity prime and morpheme prime conditions and to define "full" facilitation as effects that are not different from the identity prime condition. Consistent with the first experiment, the second experiment showed that lexical decision to nouns in the dative/locative case was facilitated by prior presentation of a morphologically-related form. In contrast to the first experiment, the second experiment showed that the instrumental singular primes produced only partial facilitation of dative/locative targets. Assuming that degree of facilitation indexes closeness of relation or extent of activation spread among morphological relatives, it appears that connections within a noun system are not uniform. In Experiment 2, oblique cases were primed more fully by themselves than by other oblique cases. This effect was demonstrated both for masculine nouns whose (base morpheme and) nominative were fully repeated in all oblique forms and for feminine nouns whose nominative was not completely reiterated in any oblique form. Evidently, the lexical organization for a system of inflected nouns includes connections that vary in strength. Moreover, appreciation of morphological relatedness does not depend on a full overlap of the letters that constitute the nominative case form.

A comparison of the pattern of full and partial priming effects in Experiments 1 and 2 revealed some asymmetries in organization for inflected forms that argue against a homogeneous organization of morphological relatives. By the satellite-entries alternative, however, asymmetries are easily accommodated because the nominative form functions as the nucleus of an inflected-noun system. Specifically, the relationship between nominative and oblique cases was as strong as the relationship between oblique and nominative cases in that neither was significantly different from the identity prime condition. In that instrumental primes were significantly different from identity primes, the relationship between two different oblique cases appears to be relatively attenuated. If inflected cases of a noun formed a homogeneous structure—either as fully represented but independent lexical entries or as entries sharing a base morpheme, a claim sometimes made for English (e.g., Kempley & Morton, 1982), then priming should have been equal among all inflected forms. Counter to the claim of a homogeneous representation, identity primes and morphologically-related primes were not equally effective for all targets. In summary, the pattern of partial facilitation obtained in Experiment 2 argues against a uniformly coherent noun system. Moreover, the observed asymmetry in the facilitation among entries of an inflected noun system can be interpreted to support the alleged special status of the nominative singular case proposed by the satellite-entries account.
The effect of presenting a morphologically-related word prior to the presentation of a target word was significantly greater than the analogous manipulation on pseudowords. However, the small but, nevertheless, significant effect of repetition on inflected pseudowords in Experiment 2 implicates a non-lexical contribution to facilitation in the repetition priming paradigm. The nature of inflectional processes in Serbo-Croatian guarantees that members of a satellite system generally will be both orthographically and phonologically very similar. Consequently, all morphologically-related prime-target pairs were visually and phonologically similar in their initial portion. The third and final experiment was designed to examine appreciation of morphological relatedness in word pairs, with diminished orthographic and phonological similarity.

Experiment 3

Experiment 3 asked whether nouns that include sound and spelling changes in some of their inflected forms are represented in the lexicon by a satellite constellation. The present experiment included nouns with two types of sound and spelling changes: (1) feminine words with palatalization in their dative/locative forms and (2) masculine words with changed nominative/accusative forms that include either a) a moveable "A" or b) an "O" that elsewhere appears as "L." We will refer to morphemes that occur in more than one form as "alternating." It is important to note that by linguistic accounts, these alternations are regular and can be described by rules, although they are no longer productive. The repetition priming paradigm was again used with nominative targets preceded by an identical prime and by two morphological primes. For half of the items presented (viz., masculine alternating nouns such as PETAK), both morphological primes differed in spelling and pronunciation from the target forms (PETKU, PETKOM). For the other half of the items (viz., feminine alternating nouns such as NOGA), half of the morphological primes differed in spelling and pronunciation from the target (i.e., NOZI) and half were identical in spelling and pronunciation of the stem morpheme to that of the target (i.e., NOGOM). As in previous experiments, decision latencies to targets as a function of type of prime addresses the issue of cohesion among inflected members of a noun system and the pattern of decision latencies (and correlations) among primes hints at the structure of the noun system.

Method

Subjects. Forty-two first-year students from the Department of Psychology at the University of Belgrade participated in Experiment 3. All had participated in either Experiment 1 or Experiment 2 approximately 6-8 weeks earlier.

Stimulus materials. Twenty-one alternating masculine words and twenty-one alternating feminine words were included in Experiment 3. All of the masculine words had changed spellings in the nominative/accusative singular case and this constituted the atypical form. For most masculine items, the alternation took the form of the addition of a vowel before the last consonant of the base form, thus eliminating certain consonant sequences in word-final position that occurred as a consequence of the disappearance of a weak semivowel in word-final position (e.g., PETAK vs. PETKU [nominative singular] vs. [dative singular]). For other masculine forms, it comprised the deletion of "1" and its replacement by "o" in syllable- and word-final position (e.g.,
PETAO vs. PETLU [nominative/accusative vs. dative/locative singular]); This process occurred in 14th century Serbo-Croatian and again, it was related to the disappearance of a weak semivowel following syllable-final "l" (Belić, 1976). In each case, nominative/accusative and dative/locative forms contained the same number of letters.

All of the feminine words had changed spellings in the dative/locative form where the alternation entailed palatalization of velar consonants (viz., the consonants k, g, h change to c, z, s when followed by "i" derived from "o" or the letter jot (second palatalization)) (Belić, 1976). By comparison, the instrumental singular forms for both masculine and feminine words were typical in construction. One consequence of the locus of the changed case form was that for masculine words the dative/locative and instrumental forms shared spelling and pronunciation, whereas for feminine words the nominative and instrumental forms were similar. Masculine and feminine pseudowords were constructed to include the same style of spelling and sound changes that occurred in words. Examples of alternating masculine and feminine words in their inflected case forms are presented in Table 4.

Table 4
Examples of Alternating Masculine and Feminine Singular Inflected Nouns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>MASCULINE</th>
<th>FEMININE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominative (N)</td>
<td>PETAK*</td>
<td>PETAO*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitive (G)</td>
<td>PETKA</td>
<td>PETLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dative (D)</td>
<td>PETKU</td>
<td>PETLU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accusative (A)</td>
<td>PETAK*</td>
<td>PETAO*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental (I)</td>
<td>PETKOM</td>
<td>PETLOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locative (L)</td>
<td>PETKU</td>
<td>PETLU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocative (V)</td>
<td>PETCE</td>
<td>PETLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicates atypical form

The test order and composition of the list(s) were analogous with those of Experiment 1. In the present experiment target words were presented in nominative case and all items were printed in Roman script. As in previous experiments, lags between target and prime averaged ten items with a range of seven to thirteen. With the exception of the number of words in a test order, the testing procedure was identical with that described above.
Results

Errors and extreme response times were eliminated from the present analyses according to the same criteria applied in previous experiments. Fewer than 3% of all responses were eliminated according to these criteria. An additional 2% of all responses were eliminated by the error pairing procedure. Table 5 summarizes the mean recognition times for nominative targets of alternating words and pseudowords.

Table 5

Mean Reaction Times (ms) to Nominative Targets (NN, DN, IN) with Sound and Spelling Alternations and to their Nominative, Dative/Locative, and Instrumental Primes (N1, D1, I1) in Experiment 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORDS</th>
<th>PRIME</th>
<th>TARGET</th>
<th>PRIME</th>
<th>TARGET</th>
<th>PRIME</th>
<th>TARGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REAL</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>664*</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>785*</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>I1</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicates form that undergoes sound and spelling change

Analyses of variance with prime condition (N1, NN, DN, IN) and gender as independent variables were performed on real word latencies using subjects and items as random variables. Consistent with the outcome for repetition priming of nominative targets for regular words, there was a significant effect of prime condition, $F(3,123) = 37.30$, $MSe = 1630$, $p < .001$ ($F(3,120) = 19.57$, $MSe = 1553$, $p < .001$). The interaction of gender by prime condition was also significant, $F(3,123) = 10.38$, $MSe = 1191$, $p < .001$ ($F(3,120) = 3.98$, $MSe = 1553$, $p < .01$). All feminine targets showed more facilitation relative to unprimed nominatives (N1) than did masculine targets. In subanalyses including only target word latencies (viz., NN, DN, IN), neither the effect of gender nor the effect of prime condition approached significance.
An analogous analysis of pseudoword latencies indicated a significant effect of prime condition, $F_1(3,123) = 3.44$, $MSE = 1775$, $p < .02$; a significant effect of gender, $F_1(1,41) = 8.98$, $MSE = 2481$, $p < .005$, and an interaction of condition by gender, $F_1(3,123) = 3.74$, $MSE = 1338$, $p < .01$. None of these was significant by the items analysis, however: ($F_2(3,120) = 1.76$, $MSE = 1739$, $p < .16$; $F_2(1,40) = 1.50$, $MSE = 7425$, $p < .23$; and $F_2(3,120) = 1.44$, $MSE = 1739$, $p < .23$, respectively).

Inspection of the latency data for word primes suggested an interesting deviation from the familiar equivalence among oblique-case latencies predicted by the satellite-entries account. Results of analyses of variance indicated a significant effect of case, $F_1(2,82) = 41.76$, $MSE = 2654$, $p < .001$ ($F_2(2,80) = 17.98$, $MSE = 3082$, $p < .001$); of gender, $F_1(1,41) = 55.60$, $MSE = 1602$, $p < .001$ ($F_2(1,40) = 2.77$, $MSE = 16051$, $p < .01$); and an interaction of case by gender, $F_1(2,82) = 4.97$, $MSE = 2079$, $p < .009$, that was not significant by stimulus analysis ($F_2(2,80) = 1.68$, $MSE = 3082$, $p < .19$). For both genders, nominative forms were recognized most quickly. For masculine forms, oblique cases (neither of which had changed spellings) were equivalent. By contrast, for feminine forms, instrumentals whose stem morphemes were identical in sound and spelling to those of their nominative form were significantly faster than dative/locative forms in which the stem morpheme was not identical, $t(41) = 4.57$, $p < .01$.

An analogous analysis of alternating pseudoword primes indicated that the effect of case was significant, $F_1(2,82) = 17.36$, $MSE = 2147$, $p < .001$ ($F_2(2,80) = 5.45$, $MSE = 3418$, $p < .01$) as was the effect of gender, $F_1(1,41) = 11.57$, $MSE = 1489$, $p < .002$ ($F_2(1,41) = 11.57$, $MSE = 1489$, $p < .002$). The interaction of case by gender was also significant, $F_1(2,82) = 3.12$, $MSE = 2400$, $p < .05$ ($F_2(2,82) = 3.12$, $MSE = 2400$, $p < .005$).

No analyses were performed on the error data because some subjects made no errors and all subjects tended to be extremely accurate. Out of 14 possible errors per condition, the mean number of errors in conditions N1, D1, I1 for words and pseudowords respectively were .63, 1.04, .85 and .65, .42, .69. The mean number of errors on targets in each condition (NN, DN, IN), computed independently of the error pairing procedure, was less than .20 for both words and pseudowords.

Finally, means for prime words in each inflected case were computed and correlated treating Nominative-Dative, Nominative-Instrumental, and Dative-Instrumental latencies as pairs. In consideration of the case-by-gender interaction among primes, separate correlations were made for feminine and masculine word pairs. The correlations of N1, D1; N1, I1, and D1, I1, were $r = .38$, $r = .77$, and $r = .22$ for feminine words and $r = .69$, $r = .77$, and $r = .82$ for masculine words, respectively. No analogous pseudoword correlations approached significance. With 21 words (and 19 degrees of freedom) correlations of $r = \frac{.44}{2}$ are significant at the .05 level. In summary, with the exception of correlations involving feminine dative/locative case, correlations among all inflected forms of a noun were significant.

**Discussion**

Differences between prime and target in spelling and pronunciation of the shared morpheme did not eliminate repetition priming. Facilitation with repetition obtained both when target and prime maintained a common spelling
and pronunciation and when they did not. This outcome is consistent with that obtained by Fowler et al. (1985), which showed statistically full priming for alternating English words, and also with many of the results reported by Stanners et al. (1979). It is not the same, however, as the outcome of an experiment by Kempley and Morton (1982) in which irregular morphologically-related words were presented auditorily for recognition in noise and no priming obtained between irregular and regular forms. Evidently, the outcome of the present study indicates that regular alternations in sound and spelling do not mask morphological relationships. Relative to the identity prime condition (NN), there was no significant reduction in facilitation due to repetition when morphological primes differed from targets in spelling and pronunciation (viz., dative and instrumental masculine primes and dative feminine primes). Statistically, priming was full in all instances. Secondarily, and as described above, Fowler et al. (1985) have reported that a nonsignificant numerical loss in priming typically occurs when affixes of prime and target are not identical. An analysis of target latencies alone in the present experiment replicates the outcome of Fowler and her colleagues in English. There is a tendency for prime target pairs with nonidentical affixes to show very small and nonsignificant reductions in the magnitude of facilitation. Based on these data, overlap in sound and spelling between target and prime (interpreted as a nonlexical or an episodic contribution) did not systematically modify the facilitation that occurs in the repetition priming task. The coherence among satellite entries of an alternating noun system appears not to differ from that of nonalternating nouns.

Among pseudowords, inspection of means suggested that the magnitude of facilitation averaged over gender was 18 ms when prime and target differed (Experiment 3) and was 58 ms in one condition when prime and target remained the same (viz., feminine pseudowords in Experiment 1). In Experiment 3, the analyses of variance were significant only by the subject's analysis and in Experiment 1, there was no facilitation with repetition for masculine pseudowords. Nevertheless, it is important to point out that the differences among latencies to pseudoword targets cannot readily be ascribed to overlap of surface characteristics with their prime. Inspection of means suggested that, irrespective of case of prime and in contrast to the outcome of Experiment 1, alternating masculine pseudoword targets were primed more consistently than were alternating feminine pseudoword targets. However, morphological primes were consistently less similar to their targets for masculine pseudowords (whose nominative/accusative was different from all oblique forms) than for feminine pseudowords (whose nominative overlapped formally with instrumental morphological primes but not with dative morphological primes). In summary, the magnitude of facilitation was significantly reduced in alternating pseudoword targets relative to regular pseudoword targets but similarities of surface characteristics do not account satisfactorily for the pattern.

For alternating primes, the interaction of case by gender and the pattern of correlations among recognition latencies indicated that the structure of the noun system for masculine and feminine nouns contrasts. Latencies for masculine nouns supported the usual primacy for the nominative and the equivalence among oblique cases described by a satellite-entries account, whereas latencies for feminine nouns suggested that recognition of the dative/locative was impeded because its spelling and pronunciation were changed relative to its nominative and to other oblique cases. This outcome suggests that, at least for feminine alternating nouns, the structure of the
noun system may differ from the typical satellite configuration. Pair-wise correlations between mean latencies for each word in its nominative, dative/locative, and instrumental forms supported this interpretation. For masculine nouns, all cases were strongly correlated whereas for feminine nouns, the changed dative/locative did not correlate significantly with its more regular forms, although the regular cases did correlate with each other.

In summary, deviations in spelling and pronunciation affect the structure of the inflected noun system as evidenced by latencies for changed dative/locative forms of feminine alternating nouns that served as primes. The failure to demonstrate an analogous effect in masculine nouns was ambiguous, however. It might reflect a qualitative difference in the irregular spellings. The phonetic environment for the application of the moveable "a" rule or the "o" to "l" alternation is perhaps less simply described than the environment for palatalization. Alternatively, it may provide further evidence for the primacy of the nominative case. If typicality within a satellite system is defined relative to the nominative form, then changed nominative forms of alternating masculine nouns may not, in effect, be deviant. The pattern of correlations supports the latter interpretation.

In conclusion, the latency data for changed primes suggested that deviation in spelling and pronunciation alter initial accessibility of inflected forms and the structure of the noun system, whereas the repetition priming data on target words suggested that once an entry has been activated, the nominative nucleus of its noun system is activated as well. Deviations in spelling and pronunciation may affect the structure of the noun system; it appears, however, that once the satellite entry of either a regular or an alternating noun system has been accessed, the entire noun system is activated.

General Discussion

In the first experiment, nouns in the nominative case were primed by identical or morphologically-related forms, namely, dative/locative and instrumental cases. The outcome was statistically full facilitation by repetition in all prime conditions. This outcome is consistent with the claim that inflected-noun forms in Serbo-Croatian are strongly cohesive in the lexicon. The pattern of latencies for the primes replicated the pattern from which the satellite-entries account originated (Lukatela et al., 1978; 1980). Moreover, the latencies of primes were significantly correlated. A critical characteristic of the satellite-entries account is that the nominative singular case has a special status in the lexical organization. One consequence of its privileged position might be that the nominative can prime and be primed more fully by non-nominative cases than can any oblique case. The outcomes of Experiments 1 and 2 support this interpretation. In Experiment 1, we found full facilitation of nominative targets by both identical and morphological primes. In Experiment 2, lexical decision latency to nouns in the dative/locative case was facilitated by a prior presentation of a morphologically-related inflected form. However, instrumental singular primes produced only partial facilitation of dative/locative targets. The statistically significant pattern of full and partial priming was interpreted as evidence that the lexical organization among inflected cases of a noun is not homogeneous; that is, connections among inflected nouns are not uniformly represented in the lexicon. In particular, the connection between two satellites of an entry appears to be weak relative to the connection between a
satellite and the nucleus. Insofar as inhomogeneities in organization are evident, it is difficult to conceive of a representation in which all inflected forms of a noun either share a base morpheme or are fully independent lexical entries.

In the third and final experiment, nouns that undergo regular sound and spelling changes in at least one of their inflected-case forms were presented as targets in the nominative case. Decision latency was equally facilitated by a prior presentation of all morphologically-related primes. Thus, the pattern of facilitation observed does not depend on maintaining phonological and orthographic similarity between prime and target: The same outcome obtained with pairs including a sound and spelling change and pairs including no change. Likewise, the pattern of facilitation with pseudowords could not be accounted for entirely by sound and spelling overlap. Collectively, the results suggest that the representation that underlies repetition priming must be sufficiently abstract to accommodate changes in the base morpheme of morphologically-related words.

The effect of repetition priming was consistently more robust with words than with pseudoword targets and this outcome is interpreted as implicating, at least in part, lexical processes. Insofar as facilitation reflects activation among lexical entries, results indicate that in addition to capturing inflectional rules that are productive, these representations also encompass alternations among forms that are probably no longer productive.

Finally, the pattern of decision latencies for regular noun primes and the correlation among forms indicates that inflected forms of a noun are associated. This outcome is interpreted as reflecting the structure of the noun system. For feminine alternating nouns, however, latencies were associated only when both words had identical base morphemes. Failure to observe a significant correlation between atypical and typical forms of alternating nouns lends support to the assumption that the pattern of correlations reflects, at least in part, lexical factors. The pattern of decision latencies and correlations for masculine alternating nouns that had a changed nominative/accusative case indicated that they were handled like regular nouns: All cases were associated. This outcome permits two interpretations: Either the nominative case is special such that alternation is defined relative to the nominative or alternatively, the particular sound and spelling changes that appear in the present set of masculine words are different from the changes that occur in feminine words. Discussion of the specifics by which alternating inflectional forms are represented and their role in defining the satellite organization among entries should not be allowed to obscure the basic result. The outcome of the present series of experiments is consistent with the claim that inflected cases of a noun are represented fully but not independently and that morphological relatedness provides a principle of organization in the lexicon. In this respect, the present experiments conducted in the highly inflected language of Serbo-Croatian are consistent with results of repetition priming studies conducted with English materials (Fowler et al., 1985).

In summary the present study extends the satellite-entries account of Lukatela et al. (1978; 1980) in the following ways: The equivalence of decision latencies for all oblique forms observed with nonalternating nouns was not observed with feminine alternating nouns. These data, in conjunction with the correlations between latencies for inflected-case forms, support the
claim that alternating nouns do not configure in the typical satellite fashion. In the present study, the pattern of full and partial facilitation in repetition priming was deployed to probe the organization among satellite entries as a further extension of Lukatela's work. Among regular noun systems, the facilitation was always full for nominative targets, whereas facilitation was significantly diminished when an oblique-case target was preceded by a different oblique-case prime. Interpreting magnitude of facilitation as an index of the organization within the inflected noun system, these results reveal inhomogeneities in the coherence of the satellite system. Specifically, the connections between two satellite entries that represent different inflected-case forms are weaker than the connection between an entry and its nucleus. In contrast, the connections between the nominative nucleus and all of its inflected-case satellites are equally strong. The latter outcome can be interpreted as further evidence for the primacy of the nominative. Finally, when typical and atypical forms of alternating nouns were presented as primes, decision latencies to nominative targets revealed a pattern of facilitation that was comparable to that reported with nonalternating nouns. This outcome, namely full facilitation, suggests that once a satellite entry is activated, all components of its noun system are accessed and that this is true both for alternating and nonalternating nouns. In conclusion, although the noun system of alternating and nonalternating may differ, once access to an entry occurs it necessarily entails the activation of its entire noun system.
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